

CRE Detect and Protect Crash Course Illinois Infection Prevention and CRE Workshop July 2015

Robynn Cheng Leidig, MPH Public Health Prevention Service Fellow Division of Patient Safety and Quality Illinois Department of Public Health



Disclosures

• I have nothing to disclose



I want to cover:

- What is CRE and XDRO?
- The roles we each play
- What happens after a CRE case is reported?



What is CRE?

Carbapenem Resistant Enterobacteriaceae



Carbapenem: Class of broadspectrum antibiotics



Resistant: Bacteria with mutations that make antibiotics ineffective



Enterobacteriaceae: Family of bacteria that includes Escherichia coli, Klebsiella sp., Enterobacter

CRE is

- KPC
- NDM
- OXA
- VIM
- IMP

CRE is <u>not</u>...

• VRE

- Pseudomonas
- Acinetobacter
- ESBLs



Why is CRE such a big deal?

- Deadly infection
- Few treatment options (if any)
- Spreading quickly

HAZARD LEVEL

URGENT



EVEL These are high-consequence antibiotic-resistant threats because of significant risks identified across several criteria. These threats may not be currently widespread but have the potential to become so and require urgent public health attention to identify infections and to limit transmission.

Clostridium difficile (C. difficile), Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE), Drug-resistant *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* (cephalosporin resistance)

1 1 in 2

CRE germs kill up to half of patients who get bloodstream infections from them.

http://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/threat-report-2013/

What is the XDRO registry?

XDRO = e**X**tensively **D**rug **R**esistant **O**rganisms

XDRO registry = where CRE is reported in Illinois*

Began: November 1, 2013

Required to report:

Acute care hospitals Long-term acute care hospitals Long-term care facilities Laboratories



* Illinois healthcare facilities and laboratories are required to report CRE to the XDRO registry per 77 Ill. Adm. Code 690, Control of Communicable Diseases Code.



But wait, let's take a step back...

We all have a role to play:

State Health Department (IDPH)

Local Health Departments

Health Care Facilities

Other?





Laboratories



Illinois Department of Public Health



IDPH Office of Health Care Regulation

License, inspect or certify those that must comply with state and federal regulations.

May include:

- Ambulatory surgical treatment centers (ASTCs)
- Certified nurse aides
- Health maintenance organizations (HMOs)
- Home health agencies
- Hospices
- Hospitals
- Laboratories
- Nursing homes
- Physical therapists in independent practice
- Poison control resource centers
- Pregnancy termination centers
- Rural health clinics
- Sperm and tissue bank



Quality Assurance

Office of

Health Care

Regulation

Division of LTC Field Operations

Division of Hospitals and Ambulatory Care

Division of Assisted Living and Information Support

Division of Health Care Facilities and Programs

Division of Administrative Rules and Procedures



IDPH Division of Patient Safety and Quality

- Promotes health care transparency
- Collects and reports health care provider data
- Develops and implements programs for improving the quality and value of health care



CRE "Detect and Protect" Campaign



◄ IDPH Home < Patient Safety Home</p>

Background

The Illinois Department of Public Health is leading the statewide CRE Detect and Protect education campaign to promote practices that prevent carbapem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) infections. CRE are extensively drugresistant organisms (XDROs) with few antibiotic treatment options that can transfer their resistance to other bacteria.



May 28, 2014



UNIVERSITY CHICAGO

Illinois Department of Public Health, Division of Patient Safety and Quality

Laboratory Detection and Reporting of CRE

Paul C. Schreckenberger, Ph.D., D(ABMM), F(AAM) Professor of Pathology Director, Clinical Microbiology Laboratory Loyola University Medical Center pschrecken@lumc.edu



- 30 stakeholder CRE Taskforce
- 6 webinars: 605 people
- 2 packets: 470 facilities
- 2 websites

Resource Packet

is Department of Public Health on of Patient Safety and Qualit

- 1 Press release
- 3 regional workshops



Patient Safety and Quality Starts at the Top

Rishi Sikka, MD Senior Vice President Clinical Transformation

May 13, 2014

Advocate Health Care





IDPH Division of Infectious Disease

- Protect people from infectious diseases through disease surveillance, analysis, immunization, and education
- Mandated reporting of certain infectious diseases to Illinois' National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (I-NEDSS)



Communicable Disease Topics from A to Z

This information constitutes the ongoing CD Section inf Please contact 217-782-2016 for questions.

Please be aware that there are some unavoidable differ older one. If you are confused or cannot find something Disease Section at the number listed above.





IDPH and Local Health Departments

- Local Health Departments are typically the first point of contact
- Health care facilities are organized by Local Health Department jurisdictions

Local \rightarrow State \rightarrow Federal







If I work at a Local Health Dept...



- Refer facilities to report CRE to the XDRO registry
- Notify IDPH about unusual CRE (e.g. NDM), or potential CRE clusters
- Investigate clusters in collaboration with IDPH
- Facilitate communication when patients are transferred
- Refer facilities to CDC CRE Toolkit guidelines
- Maintain vigilance for clusters of CRE via INEDSS B.O.
- Refer CRE questions to IDPH CRE Team

Home ,							
Home Application	s • Commu	inities •	Personnel •	Worksite Wellness			
IDPH WEB PORTAL]						
RESOURCES	l						
CMS Service Desk	Test Appli	cations					
IDPH Intranet	0						
IDPH Strategic Plan	Business Objects	Busines	Objects 3.1 -	NEW VERSION (Test)			
Outlook Web Access							
SIREN	0	Rusines	Objects 2.1	NEW VERSION (Test			
TeamViewer Remote Assistance Launcher	Business Objects	Internal	Only	NEW VERSION (Test			

If I work at a Health Care Facility....

- Communicate with the lab about CRE testing
- Report CRE cases to the XDRO registry
- Use the XDRO registry to query for admitted patients/ residents
- Use the XDRO registry (or some other method) to keep track of CRE patients/ residents
- Contact your local health department about unusual CRE or potential CRE clusters
- Implement appropriate infection control measures according to the CDC CRE Toolkit*

*http://www.cdc.gov/hai/organisms/cre/cre-toolkit/



If I work at a Laboratory...



- Communicate with your facilities about what type of CRE testing you do
- Report CRE cases to the XDRO registry
- Submit your first five CRE isolates to IDPH labs for validation testing (by 7/31/15)
- Submit any unusual CRE (e.g. NDM) to IDPH labs to send to CDC for confirmatory testing*

*Coordinate with your Local Health Department



What happens after CRE cases are reported to the XDRO registry?







Once CRE cases are in the XDRO registry...

- Health Departments review the cases
 - Look for anything unusual (e.g. NDM, clusters)
 - Follow-up as necessary



- IDPH does <u>not</u> publicly report CRE cases by facility
- For now, CRE cases are in the XDRO registry indefinitely



What happens if there is an unusual CRE or potential cluster?

1. IDPH will contact the local health department with jurisdiction over the involved facility

2. Local health department (or IDPH) will follow up with the healthcare facility to gather more information

3. Local health department (or IDPH) may follow up with the laboratory that identified the CRE

4. IDPH will notify CDC (as necessary)



Public Health





More information for a CRE case

- Foreign travel
- Foreign healthcare exposure
- Invasive procedures
- Past medical history



• Surveillance cultures









Closing up a CRE case

- Make sure facilities know what to do to prevent spread of CRE
- Summary report to local health departments, IDPH, and CDC, as necessary





Who do I call for questions about CRE?



If you're a **Health Care Facility** or **Laboratory**, start with your Local Health Department

If you're a Local Health Department, contact IDPH CRE Team: Mary Alice Lavin, Hektoen (<u>MaryAlice.Lavin@illinois.gov</u>) Jodi Morgan (<u>Jodi.Morgan@illinois.gov</u>) Angela Tang, Hektoen (<u>Angela.Tang@illinois.gov</u>) Robynn Cheng Leidig (<u>Robynn.Leidig@illinois.gov</u>)

When in doubt, call IDPH Division of Infectious Diseases at 217-785-7165 or email <u>dph.xdroregistry@illinois.gov</u>

Websites: <u>www.xdro.org</u>; <u>www.idph.state.il.us/patientsafety/cre/</u>



Recognizing Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae: Crash Course for Non-Microbiologists

Nicholas M. Moore, MS, MLS(ASCP)^{CM} Department of Medical Laboratory Science Rush University Medical Center

July 28, 2015

Disclosures

- Research support through the CDC Chicago Prevention Intervention Epicenter (C-PIE), RA Weinstein, PI and MK Hayden, Co-I
- Industry sponsored grants/contracts (Cepheid)
- Unpaid research (AdvanDx)

Objectives

By the end of this presentation, the learner will be able to:

- 1. Define Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE)
- 2. Discuss laboratory techniques used to identify CRE
- 3. Distinguish between different mechanisms of carbapenem resistance

Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae

CRE are serious public health threat

 Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase (KPC) is
 the most common worldwide



http://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/biggest_threats.html



Carbapenems

- Imipenem
- Meropenem
- Ertapenem
- Doripenem



Carbapenemases

- Carbapenem-hydrolyzing beta-lactamases that confer carbapenem resistance
- The carbapenemases have been organized based on amino acid homology into the Ambler molecular classification schema
 - Class A, C, and D share a serine residue in the active site
 - Class B enzymes require the presence of zinc for activity

Carbapenemases

Ambler Class	Carbapenemase	Location of gene	Dissemination potential	Activity	Predominant Species
А	КРС	Plasmid	High	All β-lactams	K. pneumoniae
В	NDM-1	Plasmid	High	All β-lactams except aztreonam	K. pneumoniae, E. coli
D	OXA-48	Plasmid	High	Carbapenems, except 3 rd gen cephalosporins	K. pneumoniae, E. coli, E. cloacae



Mandated Reporting in Illinois

- IDPH amended the Control of Communicable Diseases Code (77 III. Adm. Code 690) Rules to require reporting of CRE XDRC registry Help Login
- Began November 1, 2013
- XDRO Registry for CRE

Extensively drug resistant organism registry



Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) are extensively drug resistant organisms (XDROs) that have few treatment options and high mortality rates. CRE are increasingly detected among patients in Illinois, including in acute and long term care healthcare facilities.

In response to the CRE public health threat, the Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) has guided development of an infection control tool called the XDRO registry. The purpose of the XDRO registry is two-fold

- 1. Improve CRE surveillance: The first CRE-positive culture per patient stay must be reported to the XDRO registry
- Improve inter-facility communication: Healthcare facilities can query the XDRO registry to see whether a patient has been previously reported as CRE-positive.

For access to the XDRO registry, click here

UPDATES

IL CRE Detect and Protect Campaign. More

CRE are reportable to IDPH via the XDRO registry. Links: [IDPH letter to facilities. September 2013][Reporting rule]

Enterobacteriaceae

- Enterobacteriaceae are a large family of enteric Gramnegative bacilli
- Escherichia coli
- Klebsiella pneumoniae
- Citrobacter spp.
- Enterobacter spp.



Other genera: Proteus, Providencia, Serratia

Defining CRE for the XDRO Registry

- 1. Molecular test (e.g. PCR) specific for a carbapenemase gene (e.g. $bla_{\rm KPC}$, $bla_{\rm NDM}$)
- 2. Phenotypic test (e.g. modified Hodge test) specific for carbapenemase production
- 3. <u>E. coli</u> or <u>Klebsiella</u> spp. only: non-susceptible to ONE of the carbapenems (doripenem, meropenem, or imipenem) AND resistant to ALL third generation cephalosporins tested (ceftriaxone, cefotaxime, and ceftazidime)

What is PCR?

- Polymerase chain reaction
- Laboratory method developed to rapidly generate copies of nucleic acids (DNA or RNA)
- Bacterial colony provides the template (DNA)
- Series of primers and probes specific for carbapenemase gene will bind to and recognize complementary sequence in bacterial DNA, if present
- Rapid cycles of denaturing, annealing, and extending will generate exponential copies of region of interest
- Fluorescent threshold \rightarrow positive result
PCR

Pros

- Quick turn-around time
- Specific for carbapenemase
- Definitive
- Can multiplex targets into single assay (e.g. KPC/NDM)
- Does not require viable organisms

Cons

- Expensive
- High-complexity testing
- Organisms not available for additional testing, epidemiologic studies

Phenotypic Test: Modified Hodge

- Uses a pan-susceptible *E. coli* (indicator) to create a lawn of confluent growth on a Mueller Hinton agar plate
- Carbapenem disk applied to center of plate (meropenem or ertapenem)
- Suspicious isolates struck from center of disk to edge of plate
- Examine after 18-24 hour incubation for a growth of *E. coli* around the isolate streak

Modified Hodge Test

1:10 dilution of 0.5 McFarland of ATCC 25922 *E. coli*

ATCC BAA-1705 *K. pneumoniae* MHT positive



ATCC BAA-1706 *K. pneumoniae* MHT negative

Modified Hodge Test

Pros

- Inexpensive
- Easy to perform
- Organisms available for additional testing

Cons

- Requires additional overnight incubation
- Not specific
- Lacks sensitivity for MBLs (e.g. NDM)

MβL Etest[®] Phenotypic Screening



- Presence of M β L indicated by a reduction of the MP MIC by \geq 3 doubling dilutions in the presence of EDTA
- Phenotypic method requires confirmation

Chromogenic Media

- CHROMagar[™] KPC research use only
- Brilliance[™] CRE agar not for sale in US
- chromID[®] CARBA agar
- HardyCHROM[™] CRE agar
- Inexpensive and convenient
- No definitive ID
- Does not provide mechanism
- Studies with various sensitivity, specificity



Suspect KPC from a Micro Report

1 Kiebsiella pneu	monia	e	
1 K. pneumoniae			
Drug	MIC	<u>Interps</u>	<u>Origin</u>
Gentamicin	<=4	S	
Tobramycin	>8	R	
Amikacin	>32	R	
Amox/K Clav	>16/8	R	
Ampicillin	>16	R	
Ticar/K Clav	>64	R	
Piperacillin	>64	R	
Pip/∏azo	>64	R	
Cefazolin	>16	R	
Cefuroxime	>16	R	
Cefotaxime	>32	R	
Ceftazidime	>16	R	
Ceftriaxone	>32	R	
Cefepime	>16	R	
Aztreonam	>16	R	
Cefoxitin	>16	R	
Ertapenem	>4	R	
Imipenem	>8	R	IMP ENT R
Meropenem	>8	R	
Ciprofloxacin	>2	R	
Levofloxacin	>4	R	
Trimeth/Sulfa	>2/38	R	
Tetracycline	8	r	

- Enterobacteriaceae
- Non-susceptible to all βlactam antibiotics
 - Penicillins
 - Cephalosporins
 - Cephamycins
 - Monobactams
 - Carbapenems

 $bla_{\rm KPC}$ PCR = POSITIVE

Suspect NDM from a Micro Report

Biot	ype:						7:	31150	12																
Org	anis	m Ider	ntifi	cation	:													_							_
	Org	ganism	n							<u>%</u>	Pro	bability	Fo	otno	tes	5		Spe	cial	Chara	cteristics			•	
1	E.	coli										99.99													
Biod	hen	nical R	lesi	ults: (l	Bioc	hemica	ıls t	hat ar	еb	olded a	and	underli	ned a	are a	typ	oical f	or th	ne first	t ch	oice_o	rganism)				
GLU	+	RAF	-	INO	-	URE	-	LYS	+	TDA	-	CIT	- CI	L4	-	ACE	-	K4	+	P4	+		-		
SUC	+	RHA	+	ADO	-	H2S	-	ARG	-	ESC	-	MAL	- CI	F8	+	CET	-	NIT	+	TAR	-				
SOR	+	ARA	+	MEL	+	IND	+	ORN	+	VP	-	ONPG	+ 02	XI		FD64	-	OF/G	+	TO4	+				
міс	Res	uits: (Ant	imicro	bics	s mark	ed i	with "C	ð" =	re sun	ore	ssed fro	mL	ong	and	Sho	rt Ed	ormat	Pat	ient R	eports)				
GM		то		AK		ÀUC	3	AM	I	TI	VI.	PI		P/	т		CFZ		CR	M	CFT	CAZ	CAX	CPE	AZT
>8		>8		>32	2	>16	/8	>10	5	>6	4	>64		>6	i 4		>16		>16	5	>32	>16	>32	>16	<=8
R		R		R		R		R		R		R		R			R		R		R	R	R	R	s
CFX		ETP		IMF	>	ME	२	CP		LV	x	T/S		TE	=		øc	FT/CA	ø	AZ/CA	\				
>16		>4		4		8	1	>2		>4		>2/3	38	>8	3		>4		>2						
R		R		S		1		R		R		R		R											

- Enterobacteriaceae
- Non-susceptible to all β-lactam antibiotics
 - except aztreonam

 bla_{NDM-1} PCR = POSITIVE

Suspect OXA-48 from a Micro Report

01 Klebsiella pneumoniae		
01 K. pneumoniae		
Drug	MIC	Interps
Gentamicin	>8	R
Tobramycin	<≃4	S
Amikacin	>32	R
Amox/K Clav	>16/8	R
Ampicillin	>16	R
Amp/Sulbactam	>16/8	R
Pip/Tazo	>64	R
Cefazolin	>4	R
Cefuroxime	>16	R
Cefotaxime	8	R
Ceftriaxone	8	R 🔺
Cefepime	<=4	S
Ertapenem	>2	R 🔨
Imipenem	2	
Meropenem	8	R
Ciprofloxacin	>2	R
Levofloxacin	>4	R
Trimeth/Sulfa <	=2/38	S
Tetracycline -	>8	R
Tigecycline	<=2	S

- Enterobacteriaceae
- Non-susceptible to βlactam antibiotics
- Remains susceptible to
 4th generation cephalosporin

 bla_{OXA-48} PCR = POSITIVE

Summary

- XDRO Registry is tracking Carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE)
- Report isolates based off molecular, phenotypic or susceptibility test results
 - Reporting using only AST data is valid only if isolate is *E.* coli or Klebsiella spp.
- Some patterns in susceptibility profiles may suggest a particular mechanism, but must to be confirmed

Questions



Acknowledgements

Don Blom Manon Haverkate Mary Hayden David Hines Sarah Kemble Michael Lin **Karen Lolans** Rosie Lyles Kavya Poluru Kavitha Prabaker Koh Okomoto Yoona Rhee Monica Sikka **Caroline Thurlow** Shayna Weiner **Robert Weinstein**

Contact Information

Questions? Comments? Troubleshooting?

Nicholas Moore Nicholas_Moore@rush.edu 312-942-4629

Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae

Illinois' XDRO Registry

William Trick, MD Cook County Health & Hospitals System Chicago CDC Prevention Epicenter July 28, 2015

I have nothing to disclose.



Orinoco area of Amazonas state, Venezuela



The microbiome of uncontacted Amerindians

- Highest diversity microbiome ever reported
- All *E. coli* pan-susceptible
- Harbor bacteria with resistance genes
 - Poised for mobilization when exposed to pharmacologic levels of antibiotics





...Sustainable control of aggressive weeds is going to occur only when natural, intact ecosystems are restored...

An un-natural creation

BLOOD CULTURE (PERIPHERAL) (Abnormal):								
PROCEDURE: BLOOD CULTURE (PERIPHERAL)								
SOURCE: BLOOD								
COLLECTED:								
FINAL REPORT								
FINAL REPORT								
GROWTH OF GRAM NEGATIVE RODS								
FINAL IDENTIFICATION: KLEBSIELLA PNEUMONIAE								
This isolate demonstrates carbapenemase production.								
Carbapenems, cephalosporins, and penicillins are								
unlikely to be effective in treatment of serious								
infections. Contact precautions required.								
SUSCEPTIBILITY TESTING								

K PNEUMO

	MIC mcg/ml	MIC INTERP	MIC mcg/ml	ET INTERP
TRIMETH/SULFA	>2/38	RESISTNT		
CEFAZOLIN	>16	RESISTNT		
TIGECYCLINE			1.00	SUSCEPT
LEVOFLOXACIN	>4	RESISTNT		
CEFOXITIN	16	INTERMED		
PIP/TAZOBACTAM	>64	RESISTNT		
TICARCIL/K CLAV	>64	RESISTNT		
CEFTRIAXONE	>32	RESISTNT		
GENTAMICIN	<=4	SUSCEPT		
TOBRAMYCIN	>8	RESISTNT		
AMIKACIN	16	SUSCEPT		
IMIPENEM	8	RESISTNT		
MEROPENEM	>8	RESISTNT		
CEFEPIME	16	RESISTNT		
COLISTIN			.38	SUSCEPT
A ERTAPENEM	>4	RESISTNT		





KPC global spread



Munoz-Price LS et al. Lancet ID. 2013

NDM global distribution



FIGURE 2: Geographical distribution of NDM producers.

Dortet et al. BioMed Res Int. 2014





 TABLE 3. PREVALENCE OF COLONIZATION WITH VANCOMYCIN-RESISTANT ENTEROCOCCI AMONG PATIENTS OR RESIDENTS OF 30 ACUTE CARE AND LONG-TERM CARE FACILITIES IN THE SIOUXLAND REGION IN JULY AND AUGUST 1997, OCTOBER 1998, AND OCTOBER 1999.*

			1999 VERSUS	1997†							
	1997	1998	1999	relative risk (95% CI)	P value						
no. of patients (%)											
All	40 (2.2)	26 (1.4)	9 (0.5)	$0.2\ (0.1{-}0.5)$	< 0.001						
Acute care	10 (6.6)	9 (5.5)	0	0	< 0.001						
Long-term care	30 (1.7)	17(1.0)	$9\;(0.5)$	$0.3\ (0.2{-}0.7)$	0.001						

National Intervention to Reduce Incidence of CRE:

Clinical Cultures at Acute Care Hospitals.



Schwaber et al. Clin Infect Dis. 2014

National Intervention to Reduce CRE:

Clinical Cultures & Bacteremia, Acute Care Hospitals



Schwaber et al. Clin Infect Dis. 2014

REALM project - KPC



• Hospital ICUs (blue), LTACHs (red):

Prevalence of KPC colonization among ICU vs. LTACH patients



KPC Intervention for LTACHs



Hayden, Clin Infect Dis, 2015

Illinois' CRE Control efforts: Detect and Protect

"Detect and Protect"



- <u>Detect</u>: Identify all patients with CRE
- Protect: Maintain CREcolonized patients in isolation precautions throughout the healthcare system

XDRO registry overview

1. Mandatory CRE reporting



2. CRE information exchange (inter-facility communication)

Participants: Illinois hospitals including LTACHs (142), nursing homes (784), laboratories

Illinois CRE definition: Enterobacteriaceae with <u>one</u> of the following test results:

1. Molecular test (e.g., PCR) specific for carbapenemase

OR

2. Phenotypic test (e.g., Modified Hodge) specific for carbapenemase production

OR

3. For *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* species only: non-susceptible to ONE of the carbapenems (doripenem, meropenem, or imipenem) AND resistant to ALL third generation cephalosporins tested (ceftriaxone, cefotaxime, and ceftazidime).

Report 1st CRE event per patient <u>per encounter</u>

Unique patients reported to XDRO registry



XDRO registry, year 1

Reporting

- Unique reports: 1,557 reports
- Unique patients: 1,095
- Reporting facilities: 175

115 Acute hospitals

- 5 LTACHs
- 46 SNFs
 - 7 reference labs
 - 2 Outpatient

clinics

Querying

• 30 unique facilities query the registry/month


XDRO registry summary, 2014

Characteristics of ALL submitted reports	N	%
Culture Type		
Clinical	1254	80
Screening	301	20
Organism		
Klebsiella spp.	1347	86
E. coli	103	7
Enterobacter spp.	77	5

Data from IDPH



XDRO registry summary, 2014 (cont)

Characteristics of ALL submitted reports		%		
Type of testing performed*				
1) Molecular test*	397	25		
2) Phenotypic test*	751	48		
3) Susceptibility test ONLY	449	29		
Unknown	29	2		
Mechanism of resistance (applies only to reports with molecular test)				
КРС	363	91		
NDM	11	3		

*≥1 response accepted per isolate

All XDRO reports by region





XDRO data access for LHDs

- Local health departments
 - Access through I-NEDSS
- E-mail <u>dph.xdroregistry@illinois.gov</u> for user form or questions about access

Lab Validation results, 134 isolates (1/1/15 - 4/25/15)

- 115 (86%) Carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae
 - 111 (97%) KPC PCR+
 - 2 (2%) NDM PCR+
 - 2 (2%) OXA-48-like
- 10 (8%) carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*
 - 9 Enterobacter spp, 1 E. coli
- 3 (2%) carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter/Pseudomonas*
- 6 (5%) carbapenem-susceptible *E. coli*

Lab validation – moving forward

- Current protocol:
 - Send first <u>consecutive</u> CRE isolates of 2015 to IDPH until quota (n=5) met

- <u>Proposed</u> protocol for 2016
 - Send 5 consecutive CRE isolates for 2016
 - For confusing isolates, lab can send an additional 5 CRE isolates

Automated Queries



Variable	2008	2010	2011	Р
Infection control consultant	62	85	92	.055
Hand hygiene ²²				
Presence of ABHR in each room	85	92	100	.146
ABHR at site of care	15	54	85	<.001
Presence of antiseptic soap	15	92	85	<.001
Presence of sink in each room	23	31	46	.164
Paper towel availability	69	85	100	.032
Compliance audits	0	46	77	<.001
Appropriate use of barrier precautions in context of standard precautions ²³				
Gloves	31	69	92	.001
Gowns	54	77	77	.208
Masks	38	62	69	.118
CRE prevention program				
Placement of colonized patients in single rooms or cohorting	77	85	100	.082
Use of gown and gloves in contact isolation	46	92	100	.001
Designated medical equipment	92	100	100	.221
Admission screening cultures	15	69	77	.002
Contact screening	38	77	100	.001
Discontinuation of isolation per standard protocol	15	46	100	<.001
Total infection control score (average, out of possible 16)	6.8	11.6	14.0	<.001

TABLE 1. Compliance with Infection Control Guidelines in 13 Post-Acute Care Hospitals as Noted on 3 Site Visits

NOTE. Data are percentage of compliant hospitals (n = 13), unless otherwise indicated. ABHR, alcoholbased hand rub; CRE, carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae.

Detection of CRE Clusters in Illinois



Summary

- CRE control can be successful
 - Coordinated approach
 - Improve detection and inter-facility communication (XDRO registry)
 - Local action
 - Antibiotic stewardship too!

Thank you

Illinois' Infection Control CommunityCStStIllinois Dept. of Public HealthStAllison ArwadyStCraig ConoverCMary DriscollWRobynn LeidigMErica RunningdeerMMichael RayRob

<u>Hektoen Institute</u> Mary Alice Lavin Angela Tang <u>Chicago Dept. of Public Health</u> Stephanie Black Sarah Kemble

<u>CDC Prevention Epicenter</u> Wei (Vicky) Gao Mary Hayden Michael Lin Robert Weinstein

<u>CDC</u> John Jernigan Alex Kallen

Bad Bugs, No Drugs? An Ongoing Battle against MDR and XDR Pathogens

Janak Koirala, MD MPH FACP FIDSA Professor of Medicine and Division Chief Division of Infectious Diseases Southern Illinois University School of Medicine



Disclosures

• Clinical trials:

- Bayer
- Cempra
- Insmed
- Pfizer
- Theratechnologies

• Lab research:

- MMC Foundation

Objectives

- Describe significant multidrug resistant (MDR) and extensively drug resistant (XDR) organisms.
- Review changing epidemiology of MDR and XDR pathogens and their impact on healthcare.
- Discuss prevention and control through implementation of antimicrobial stewardship program and infection control practices.



Gram Positive Cocci

• Enterococci: E. faecalis, E. faecium

Vancomycin resistance Example: VRE

• Staphylococcus aureus

Oxacillin resistanceExample: MRSAVancomycin resistanceExamples: VISA, VRSA

• Streptococcus pneumoniae

Penicillin resistance E

Example: PRSP

Gram Negative Rods

- Enterobacteriaeceae
 - Escherichia coli
 - Klebsiella pneumoniae, K. oxytoca
 - Enterobacter cloacae, E. aerogenes
- Pseudomonas aeruginosa
- Acinetobacter baumannii
- Stenotrophomonas maltophilia
- Burkholderia cepacia

MDR, XDR and PDR Gram Negative Rods

Multi-drug resistant (MDR)

Resistance to 3 or more classes of antibiotics generally active againstGNR including:AminoglycosidesExtended-Spectrum penicillinsCarbapenemsCephalosporinsFluoroquinolones

Extensively-drug resistant (XDR)

Resistance to all classes of antibiotics except polymyxins

Pan-drug resistant (PDR)

Resistance to all classes of antibiotics including polymyxins

MDR Gram Negative Infections

Increasing resistance

- Extended-spectrum β-lactamase production
- Carbapenemase production

Rising at a steady rate over past decade

- One of the biggest challenges of the decade
- WHO recognizes it as one of the major threats to human health

MDR GNR from bloodstream (within 48 hours)

(Pop-Vicas et al, Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2009)



Emergence of Fluoroquinolone Resistance in Outpatient Urinary *E coli Isolates*



(Luke Johnson et al, Am J Med, Oct 2008)

Distribution of MDR vs. Non-MDR strains of *Acinetobacter baumannii* (N=60)



Acinetobacter baumannii: Susceptibility to imipenem (N=60)



Comparison of clinical outcomes in carbapenem sensitive vs. resistant *A. baumannii* (N=60)



(Tyagi & Koirala, ISID 2010)

This study confirms that in comparison to the carbapenem-susceptible *A. baumannii* (CSAB), carbapenem-resistant *A. baumannii* (CRAB) infections are significantly associated with:

- severe morbidity
- prolonged hospitalization
- prolonged ICU admissions
- increased mortality

Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE)

- high levels of resistance to antibiotics
- CRE is associated with high mortality rates
 - up to 50% in some studies
- Examples: E. coli, Klebsiella spp, Enterobacter spp
 - normal gut bacteria
- Infection examples:

Ventilator-associated pneumonia Catheter related UTI Blood stream infections intubation urinary catheters IV catheters

Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) : Previous CDC Definition 2012

Nonsusceptible to one of the following carbapenems: doripenem, meropenem, or imipenem

<u>AND</u>

Resistant to all of the following third-generation cephalosporins: ceftriaxone, cefotaxime, ceftazidime

<u>Note:</u> This CRE surveillance definition was based upon the 2012 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) breakpoints for carbapenems.

Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) : Updated CDC Definition 2015

Resistant to imipenem, meropenem, doripenem, or ertapenem

<u>OR</u>

Documentation that the isolate possess a carbapenemase

Two types based on mechanism

- **CP-CRE:** Production of carbapenemases e.g. KPC, NDM, etc
- Non-CP-CRE: mechanisms other than carbapenemase production; such as most commonly- production of beta-lactamases (e.g., AmpC) in combination with alterations in the bacteria's cell membrane (e.g., porin mutations)

Carbapenemases

Class	Details
 Class A 	Inhibited by clavulanic acid, e.g. KPC , SME, IMI/NMC-A, GES
 Class B 	Metallo-enzymes, e.g. IMP (SE Asia), VIM (Europe), NDM
Class C	CMY-10
 Class D 	OXA -type

(Source: Gould IM. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2008 Aug 29)

Carbapenemase Examples

Klebsiella pneumoniae Carbapenemase (KPC)

- confers carbapenem resistance
- often carry genes that confer high levels of resistance to other antimicrobials
- "Pan-resistant" KPC-producing strains have been reported
- prevalent in North and South America, Europe (Italy, Greece), Asia (China, Israel)

KPC Distribution: World (Normann, CMI 2014)



Unknown distribution of KPC producers Sporadic spread of KPC producers Outbreaks caused by KPC producers Endemicity of KPC producers



States with KPC-producing CRE isolates reported to the CDC (as of February 2015)



Carbapenemase Examples

New Delhi metallo-beta-lactamase (NDM)

- First reported in 2008 in a Swedish patient who was previously hospitalized in Delhi
- Primarily found in Enterobacteriaceae (particularly in *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*), and less often in *Acinetobacter* spp.
- Currently, 12 different variants (NDM-1 to NDM-12)
- highest incidence in India, Pakistan, China, England, Balkans



(Moellering RC Jr., N Engl J Med 2010)

NDM-producing CRE isolates reported to the CDC (as of January 2015, by state)



NDM enzyme

OXA-48-type carbapenemase producing CRE isolates reported to the CDC (as of January 2015, by state)



VIM-producing CRE isolates reported to the CDC (as of January 2015, by state)




The New Pork Times (February 27, 2010) Dearth of New Drugs For Hardier Germs The number of new antibiotics Acinetobacter germs in U.S. approved for sale in the hospitals that are resistant to a United States has dwindled. powerful antibiotic often used as a last line of treatment. 20 antibiotics approved for sale 30% Acinetobacter germs resistant to imipenem 25 15 20 10 15 10 5 5 '83-'88-'93-'98-'03-'08-'99 '00 '01 '02 '03 '04 '05 '06 '87 '92 '97 '02 '07 '09 Latest available data

Sources: Infectious Diseases Society of America; Resources for the Future

THE NEW YORK TIMES

Antibiotic Resistance Timeline

Antibiotic deployment



Antibiotic resistance observed

(Source: Clatworthy, et al. Nature Chemical Biology, 2007)

"Bad Bugs, No Drugs: No ESKAPE!"

- IDSA Campaign:
 - "As antibiotic discovery stagnates, a public health crisis brews"
- IDSA's 10 x '20 Initiative: Challenges scientific community to develop 10 new drugs by 2020 against
 - ESKAPE : Enterococci Staphylococci Klebsiella Acinetobacter Pseudomonas Enterobacter

Antimicrobial agents for MDRO: limited options

MDR Organisms MRSA →VISA

VRE Klebsiella→KPC Pseudomonas

Acinetobacter Stenotrophomonas

Treatment options (examples)

vancomycin, linezolid, daptomycin linezolid, daptomycin, tigecycline ertapenem, ciprofloxacin ciprofloxacin, piperacillin-tazobactam, ceftazidime, cefepime, imipenem, amikacin imipenem, polymyxins trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole

Newer Antibiotics: New classes

- Oxazolidinones:
- Lipopeptide:
- Glycylcycline:
- Lipoglycopeptide:

Linezolid, Tedizolid Daptomycin Tigecycline Telavancin Dalbavancin, Oritavancin Solithromycin

- Fluroketolide:
- Cephalosporin (5th gen): Ceftaroline

Newer Antibiotics: Older Classes

Cephalosporins+BLI:

Ceftazidime+avibactam Ceftolozane+Tazobactam

Lipid Aminoglycosides: Liposomal Amikacin (inhalational)

"How can we improve use of antibiotics and slow down resistance?"

Healthcare Associated Infection Risk factors

- Surgical procedures
- Injections: intravascular, intra-articular, intrathecal, etc
- Contamination of the healthcare environment
- Transmission between patients and HCWs
- Overuse or improper use of antibiotics

Transmission

- MDROs are carried from one person to another via the hands of health care personnel
- Hands are easily contaminated during the process of caregiving or from contact with environmental surfaces in close proximity to the patient.
 - For example:
 - Patients may have diarrhea and the reservoir of the MDRO is the gastrointestinal tract
 - Patients bed sheet, surfaces of the bed rails, and surfaces of the furniture in the room may have microorganisms

Nosocomial Transmission



Healthcare Associated Infection Risk factors

- Use of indwelling medical devices
 - Bloodstream catheters
 - Urinary catheters
 - Endotracheal tube
 - Prosthetic joints
 - Prosthtic valves
 - Implant devices: pacemaker, AICD, shunts, pumps, etc.



Rapid global dissemination of CRE genes

Attributed to a combination of 3 major social and microbiological mechanisms:

- international travel
- > patient-to-patient transmission
- interspecies transfer of resistant genes; e.g.

KPC resistance elements are often flanked by transposons and are carried on transferable plasmids of GNRs Many plasmids that carry KPC resistance elements concurrently carry other plasmid-mediated resistance elements, such as quinolone (QnrA and QnrB) and aminoglycoside (rmtB) resistance

Four parallel strategies:

- Infection prevention
- Prompt diagnosis and treatment
- Prudent use of antimicrobials
- Prevention of transmission

1. Hand hygiene

Promote hand hygiene

Monitor hand hygiene adherence and provide feedback Ensure access to hand hygiene stations Don't give bacteria a free ride. WASHING YOUR HANDS WITH SOAP AND WATER IS ONE OF THE BEST WAYS TO PREVENT DISEASES. www.cdc.gov/mrsa CDC

2. Contact Precautions

Acute care

Place CRE colonized or infected patients on Contact Precautions (CP)

- Preemptive CP might be used for patients transferred from high-risk settings
- Educate healthcare personnel about CP
- Monitor CP adherence and provide feedback
- Develop lab protocols for notifying clinicians and IP about potential CRE

Long-term care

Place CRE colonized or infected residents that are high-risk for transmission on CP For patients at lower risk for transmission, use Standard Precautions

3. Patient and staff cohorting

When available cohort CRE colonized or infected patients and the staff that care for them even if patients are housed in single rooms If the number of single patient rooms is limited, reserve these rooms for patients with highest risk for transmission (e.g., incontinence)

4. Minimize use of invasive devices

5. Laboratory notification

6. Promote antimicrobial stewardship

7. Screening

Screen patient with epidemiologic links to unrecognized CRE colonized/infected patients Conduct point prevalence surveys of units containing unrecognized CRE patients

8. Healthcare personnel education

CRE Prevention Strategies (CDC 2012) Supplemental Measures for facilities with CRE transmission

1. Conduct active surveillance testing

Screen high-risk patients at admission and periodically during their facility stay for CRE Preemptive CP can be used while results of admission surveillance testing are pending Consider screening patients transferred from facilities known to have CRE at admission

2. Chlorhexidine bathing

Bathe patients with 2% chlorhexidine

Antibiotic Stewardship

- 1. Appropriate antimicrobial agent, correct dose & right duration
 - Four Ds of optimal antimicrobial therapy:

right <u>D</u>rug, right <u>D</u>ose, right <u>D</u>uration, <u>D</u>e-escalation

- 2. Prevention of antimicrobial overuse, misuse & abuse
- 3. Minimize antimicrobial usage to prevent emergence of resistance
- 4. Switch intravenous antibiotics to oral
- 5. Develop protocols and guidelines

Impact of Formulary Restriction and Pre-Authorization on MRSA, ESBL Klebsiella, and MDR Pseudomonas



(Drew RH, JMCP 2009)

Impact of Prospective Audit with Intervention and Feedback



MDRO Control interventions

Environmental measures

- The potential role of environmental reservoirs, such as surfaces and medical equipment, in the transmission of VRE and other MDROs has been the subject of several reports
- A common reason for finding environmental contamination with an MDRO is the lack of adherence to facility procedures for cleaning and disinfection
- Strategies may include:
 - use of dedicated noncritical medical equipment
 - assignment of dedicated cleaning personnel to the affected patient care unit
 - increased cleaning and disinfection of frequently-touched surfaces; e.g., bedrails, charts, bedside commodes, doorknobs, etc.

WAAAR: World Alliance Against Antimicrobial Resistance

- 1. Awareness of all stakeholders, including the general public
- 2. Organization of a financed national plan for containment of resistance in every country
- 3. Permanent access to antibiotics of assured quality
- 4. Cautious, controlled, and monitored usage of antibiotics
- 5. Infection prevention
- 6. Use of diagnostic tests
- 7. Education and information
- 8. Surveillance of consumption of and resistance to antibiotics
- 9. Promotion of basic and applied research for development of new drugs
- 10. Inclusion of antibiotics in the UNESCO's intangible cultural heritage

Concluding Remarks

- MDR and XDR GNRs are becoming increasingly common pathogens in the healthcare environment
- CRE are a real major threat for causing potentially deadly outbreaks in healthcare institutions and communities
- There is a gap in innovation and discovery of new antibiotics
- It is important to have a planned, controlled, and monitored usage of antibiotics through antibiotic stewardship programs in both inpatient and outpatient settings
- An effective infection prevention program plays the most vital role to control these pathogens



Detect and Protect – Establishing an Infection Prevention and Control Plan for Carbapenem Resistant Enterobacteriaceae

Mary Alice Lavin, RN, MJ, CIC

Hektoen Institute, LLC

July 28, 2015

Disclosures

 This presentation was developed in conjunction with the Illinois Department of Public Health. The opinions, viewpoints, and content may not necessarily represent the position of the Illinois Department of Public Health.

• I have nothing to disclose.





- List proactive interventions for preventing and controlling Carbapenem Resistant Enterobacteriaceae.
- Identify the components of a Carbapenem Resistant Enterobacteriaceae risk assessment.
- Describe the steps to take following identification of a patient with Carbapenem Resistant Enterobacteriaceae.



Key Elements - 2012

- Recognizing Carbapenem Resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) are epidemiologically important
- Understanding the prevalence in the region
- Identifying colonized and infected patients when they present to the facility
- Implementation of regional and facility based interventions for control



Core Interventions

(AKA - Back to the Basics)

- Hand Hygiene
- Contact Precautions
- Healthcare Worker Education
- Appropriate Device Use
- Cohorting
- Lab Notification
- Antimicrobial Stewardship
- Screening epidemiologically linked contacts
- Interfacility Communication



Core Interventions

(AKA - Back to the Basics)

- Hand Hygiene
- Contact Precautions
- Healthcare Worker Education
- Appropriate Device Use
- Cohorting
- Lab Notification
- Antimicrobial Stewardship
- Screening epidemiologically linked contacts
- Interfacility Communication



Supplemental Interventions

- Active surveillance testing
- Chlorhexidine bathing
 - 51% decrease in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae (P<.001)
 - Effectiveness may vary by skin site
 - Patients with diarrhea had an increased risk for inguinal colonization
 - Patients with a tracheostomy were colonized at the neck
 - Gently but firmly scrubbing with a CHG cloth for 20 seconds may be necessary for CHG bathing to be an effective component of a control program

Lin, Michael Y., et al. The effectiveness of routine daily chlorhexidine gluconate bathing in reducing Klebsiella pneumoniae Carbapenemase–producing Enterobacteriaceae skin burden among long term acute care hospital patients. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiology 2014;35(4): 440-442.



Proactive Interventions

- Aggressive control
 - Retrospective lab review for missed cases
 - Point prevalence surveys
 - Proactive screening of certain patient populations at admission
 - Presumptive Contact Precautions



Key Elements - 2013

- Supplemental testing for CRE identified in a patient who had an overnight stay in a healthcare facility outside the United States
- Consideration for performing rectal screening cultures on patients who received care in a healthcare facility outside of the United States and isolating them until results are available



Risk Assessment





Risk Assessment




State

NDM-producing Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) isolates reported to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) as of January 2015, by state



This map was last updated on January, 2015

OXA-48-Type-producing Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) isolates reported to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) as of January 2015, by state



OXA-48 enzyme

This map was last updated on January, 2015



State

All XDRO reports by IDPH region, 2014

N=1,571



Unknown/missing (n=76; 5%)



State



Copyright © 2013-2015 MRAIA. All rights reserved.



https://www.xdro.org/index.html

XDRO Report

Facility Data [a]



State Data [b]



a: The facility level report removes all duplicates regardless of time. A duplicate is defined at the level of the patient and facility, using the patient's first name, last name, and date of birth.

b: The state level report removes all duplicates regardless of time and facility. A duplicate is defined at the level of the patient, using the patient's first name, last name, and date of birth.

Copyright @ 2013-2014 MRAIA. All rights reserved.



Referral Network



Additional reading:

Lin, Michael Y., et al. "The importance of long-term acute care hospitals in the regional epidemiology of Klebsiella pneumoniae Carbapenemase–producing Enterobacteriaceae." *Clinical infectious diseases* (2013). Won, Sarah Y., et al. "Emergence and rapid regional spread of Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase–producing Enterobacteriaceae." *Clinical infectious diseases* 53.6 (2011): 532-540.







Copyright © 2013-2015 MRAIA. All rights reserved.



Facility



Illinois Department Of Public Health change facility

Home Help Go Back Logout

Illinois Department Of Public Health Submission History

First	name Last name	Date of	birth	SSN(last4)	RID	Report All	S	earch
RID	Name	Date of Birth	MRN	Organism		▼Culture Date	Status	Username
2673			N/A	Klebsiella pneumoni	ae	02/19/2015	Submitted	ATANG
2510			0010983480	Klebsiella pneumoni	ae	11/28/2014	Submitted	rleidig

2

Copyright © 2013-2015 MRAIA. All rights reserved.



Facility

Look Back and Active Surveillance Cultures

- Lab information system review of Enterobacteriaceae
 - Review susceptibility
 - Consider additional testing if not previously performed and isolates available
- Active surveillance culture order sets
 - ICU admission
 - "Patients at risk"
 - Based on admission source



Patient Lists Create Properties Remove Add f	Patient Copy	Paste Oper	n Chart			
Patient Lists Create Properties Remove Add f	Patient Copy	Past <u>e</u> <u>O</u> per	n Chart			
Create Properties Remove Add f	Patient Copy	Past <u>e</u> Oper	n Chart			
My Patient Lists	ursing Home Ad	mits . Nursing Ho				
		into - narsing no	me Admits (8 Pa	tients)		as of
Shared Patient Lists ID Consult - Attg Master	Admission Source	Admission Date/Tiime	Patient Name	MRN	Unit	Room/Bed
Helical Unit Admissions for N Helical Unit Admissions for N Helical Unit Admissions for N Helical Unit Admissions for N	RTS Tran From Nursing Home, SNF, or ICF	1/21/2011 1824			A7N - MEDICAL	05749/A
Contractient Depts Contractient Discharges	Trans From Nursing Home, SNF, or ICF	1/11/2011 1325			KD4 - CHILD PSYCH	00425/A
T 1 2	Trans From Nursing Home, SNF, or ICF	1/20/2011 0028			A8S - MEDICAL	06857/A
T M S	Trans From Nursing Home, SNF, or ICF	1/10/2011 0303			KO8-NEUROSCIE ICU	00829/A
T 1 2	Trans From Nursing Home, SNF, or ICF	1/21/2011 1404			A8S - MEDICAL	06819/A
T M S	Trans From Nursing Home, SNF, or ICF	1/18/2011 2155			A8S - MEDICAL	06875/A
T M S	Trans From Nursing Home, SNF, or ICF	1/17/2011 1959			P2 - CCU/CSU	00273/A
T M S	Trans From Nursing Home, SNF, or ICF	1/4/2011 2047			A7S - MEDICAL	06717/A



Active Surveillance Cultures

- Admission screening of patients on high risk units
- Ring surveillance
 - Index patient
 - All epidemiologically linked patients
- Retrospective search
 - CRE positive patients who had spent 24 or more hours on the same ward as a new CRE patient (case patient)before they were identified as CRE positive



Active Surveillance Cultures

- Results of admission screening
 - 29 of 63 positive patients were already on contact precautions
 - 14 patients triggered ring surveillance
 - 174 patients were screened with 3 new patients identified.
 - The three patients grew different organisms than the index patient and therefore did not represent transmission
- Results of retrospective search
 - 7 possible transmissions occurred from 6 case patients
 - The case patients all had positive clinical cultures



Active Surveillance Cultures

- Conclusions
 - Ring surveillance identified unrecognized cases
 - Because ring surveillance is a single point in time, it may not identify all possible transmissions
 - Patients with active CRE infections may be more likely to transmit CRE than patients with asymptomatic colonization
 - Study had limitations



Case Response and Investigation

- Prompt initiation of Contact Precautions
- Assessment of potential exposures
 - Source for transmission
 - Contact Precautions/length of time to Contact Precautions
 - Invasive procedures
 - CRE positive clinical culture
 - Ring surveillance cultures
 - Resulting in transmission
 - Invasive procedures
 - Invasive devices



Ongoing and Proactive Interventions

- Feedback and feed-forward of information
 - Internal
 - Flagging of medical records
 - SBAR, warm hands offs, ticket to ride
 - XDRO Registry
 - External
 - Inter-facility Infection Prevention Transfer Form
 - Transfer form
 - Discharge/transfer summary
 - > XDRO Registry
- Program reassessment



Epic - 19	Tools 🗸 🔂 C	hart 🔂 Hospital C	Chart 🦷 Patient Lists	🚾 Grease Board 😰 F	atient Station 🔂 Unit Ce	nsus 🛃 Log	» 🗿 🎒	Print 🗸 🞘 Log (
		×						Epi
		- Status Att (None) (I	tending Dep: (Non None) Rm-Bd: (N	e) Age: Sex one) M	Ht: (None) Isolation Wt: (None) (None)	Allergies(12/11/* Levofloxacin	CODE <u>Prior</u>	FYI Isolation
	Chart Rev	lew					Last refresh:	4:12:42 PM
art Review	€ilters	🔎 Text Search 🛛 🛛	🗟 <u>R</u> efresh 🗧 Select	All Deselect All	Review Selected			
ults Review	Encounte	Notes/Trans	Meds Laboratory	Imaging Diagnostic	Cardio Other Orders	Letters Episodes	Admin Media	Misc Reports
rgies	11 record	s match filters, all	records loaded	V Hi	de Add'l Visits			Clear Al
orv	Filtered:	Hide Add'l V	/isits					
		Date 🗸	Туре	Department	Provider		Description	
ographics	<u>8</u>	12/10/2010	ED to Hosp-Ad	A7S - MEDICAL			Uti (Lower Urinary	Tract Infec
ications	B	10/07/2010	Surgery	Main ORs			INCISION/DRAIN/	AGE HIP
iouborrio		09/28/2010	Surgery	Main ORs			ROTATION FLAP	(SPECIFY S
inizations	3	09/26/2010	ED to Hosp-Ad	A7N - MEDICAL			Sacral Decubitus	Ulcer; Pre
vth Chart		08/18/2010	HOV	CIC CT/MRI			Septic Arthritis; P	elvic Pain
		08/18/2010	Ancillary Orders	CIC CT/MRI		3	Septic Arthritis; P	Pelvic Pain
		08/11/2010	Ancillary Orders	CIC CT/MRI			Septic Arthritis; P	elvic Pain
	3	07/01/2010	Surgery	Main ORs			EXCISION TUMO	R FEMUR
	5	06/24/2010	Admission (Di	A7S - MEDICAL			Septic Arthritis of	Hip
		06/24/2010	PCP/Clinic Ch					
	12	000000000	ED	ED EMERCENCY	DM		Secrel Decubiture	Lilear Lou



I

	(None)	(None)	Rm-Bd: (None)	M	Wt: (None)	(None)	Levofloxacin	Prior	Isolation
art Review sults Review	FYI New Flag Existing FYIs								
rgies tory nographics dications unizations with Chart	Entry Date/Time V 10/04/10 06:58 09/30/10 08:29	Contact Us	ser	Type Isolation Isolation	Show inactive Summary KPC urine 9/27 MRSA, wound	e flags /10 culture, 9/26	<u>Eilte</u>	Statu Active	R <u>e</u> fresh



I

Inter-facility Infection Prevention Transfer Form

When transferring patient/resident, please complete to the best of your ability to assist with care transitions.

Patient Information	
Last Name	First Name
Date of Birth//	
Isolation Precautions	
The patient currently requires the following type	(s) of isolation precautions.
Contact precautions. Reason:	
Droplet precautions. Reason:	
Airborne precautions. Reason:	
The patient DOES NOT require isolation.	
Infection/Colonization History (check all that	apply)
MRSA (Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus au MRSA (Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus au	reus)
VRE (Vancomycin-resistant enterococci)	
Clostridium difficile	
Any MDRO gram-negative bacteria (multidrug- multidru	resistant). If known, please also specify:
Carbapenem-resistant Enterol	pacteriaciae (examples: Klebsiella or E. coli with KPC, NDM-1)
Acinetobacter, multidrug-resis	tant
ESBL (extended spectrum beta	-lactamase) bacteria
Pseudomonas aeruginosa, mu	tidrug-resistant
Respiratory Illness (influenza, adenovirus, etc.,	suspected or confirmed) — Droplet Precautions
Respiratory Illness (tuberculosis , etc., suspected)	ed or confirmed) — Airborne Precautions
Any other pathogen requiring isolation. Please	list:
Sending Facility Information	
Facility Name	Unit
	Ohic
Address	Phone
Person Completing Form	Infection Prevention Designee
Name/Title	Name
Phone	Phone

Please send copies of any relevant microbiology cultures, medication administration record (MAR) or physician order sheet (POS), and immunization documentation.

Email/Fax

Version 1.2 3/11/11



Email/Fax_____

Conclusions

- Control of CRE requires coordination among all stakeholders
- A risk assessment can guide the program and interventions at the facility level
- Success for one is success for all with communication as the key



Additional Resources

- CDC. 2012 CRE Toolkit Guidance for Control of Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) <u>http://www.cdc.gov/hai/organisms/cre/cre-toolkit/index.html</u> (Note: currently being revised.)
- CDC. Vital signs: Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2013;165-170.
- ECRI Institute. CRE and Duodenoscope Resource Center, Guidance on reprocessing of ERCP endoscopes linked to the superbug outbreak <u>https://www.ecri.org/resource-center/Pages/Superbug.aspx</u>
- Ostrowsky BE, Trick WE, Sohn AH et al. Control of vancomycin-resistant *enterococcus* in health care facilities in a region. N Eng J Med 2001;344(19):1427-33.
- Parker VA, Logan CK, Currie B. Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) Control and Prevention Toolkit. (Prepared by Boston University School of Public Health and Montefiore Medical Center under Contract No. 290-2006-0012-I.) AHRQ Publication No. 14-0028. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. April 2014. http://www.ahrq.gov/professionals/quality-patient-safety/patient-safety-resources/resources/cretoolkit/cretoolkit.pdf



Questions

maryalice.lavin@illinois.gov



Antimicrobial Stewardship: The OSF Experience





J Gavin Cotter MD MPH Director Antimicrobial Stewardship Assistant Professor of Clinical Medicine Infectious Disease

Full Disclosure of Presenter Financial Interests or Relationships

 I declare that I or my immediate family do not have a financial interest or other relationship with any manufacturer/s of a commercial product/s which may be discussed at the conference.



Antimicrobial Stewardship Definition

Rational, systematic approach to the use of antimicrobial agents in order to achieve optimal outcomes

- Optimal Outcomes
 - Achievement of cure
 - Avoidance of medication toxicity
 - Avoidance of Adverse affects (ie. *Clostridium Difficile*)
 - Reduction of antimicrobial selection pressure limiting antimicrobial resistance



OSF Healthcare

- Owned and operated by The Sisters of the Third Order of St. Francis, Peoria, Illinois.
- 11 acute care facilities
- 1 Hospice House
- OSF Prompt Care
- 2 Colleges of Nursing
- OSF Medical Group



OSF Healthcare: Hospitals

- Saint James-John W Albrecht Medical Center
 - Pontiac, IL
 - Beds: 42
- Saint Joseph Medical Center
 - Bloomington, IL
 - Beds: 149
- Saint Luke Medical Center
 - Kewanee, IL
 - Beds: 25
- Saint Francis Medical Center
 - Peoria, IL
 - Beds: 609
- Holy Family Medical Center
 - Monmouth, IL
 - Beds: 23
- Saint Anthony's Health Center
 - Alton, IL
 - Beds: 203

- Saint Mary Medical Center
 - Galesburg, IL
 - Beds: 90
- Saint Elizabeth Medical Center
 - Ottawa, IL
 - Beds: 97

Saint Anthony Medical Center

- Rockford, IL
- Beds: 254
- St. Francis Hospital and Medical Group
 - Escanaba, MI
 - Beds: 25
- Saint Paul Medical Center
 - Mendota, IL
 - Beds: 25



Aims

- To create a formalized Inpatient ASP at OSF SFMC.
- To support pre existing inpatient efforts within OSF Healthcare and transition these efforts into formalized Inpatient ASPs.
- To create new inpatient ASPs within OSF Healthcare.
- To develop an Ambulatory ASP within OSF Healthcare.



Antibiotic Utilization Process





OSF Antimicrobial Stewardship Program: Fractal



Clinician: MD/DO(Attending/Resident/Intern), NP, PA, Nursing



Data Gathering Sources

- -EMR
- Pharmacy
- Billing Data
- TheraDoc[®]
- Chart Review
- Other





EMR review also revealed...

- "Continue antimicrobials until course completed."
- "Most likely viral. We will continue the antibacterial."
- "Patient with colitis possibly due to C. difficile. Will empirically start Levo and Flagyl"
- "Viral Bronchitis Day #7/14 Levaquin."
- "Allergy to PCN. Continue Augmentin."



Where are we now?





CDC 2009 Know when Antibiotics Work Campaign BAD BUGS, NO DRUGS As Antibiotic Discovery Stagnates ... A Public Health Crisis Brows



Taffeetings Diseased Survey of Aus

July 2004

IDSA Policy Statement: Combating Antimicrobial Resistance 2011



IDSA Policy Statement: The 10x'20 Initiative Inaugural Statement; April 2010





National Action Plan to Combat Antibiotic Resistant Bacteria; May 2015 EU Policy Options. Office of Health Economics

Literature Review: Interventions

- Prospective audit with interaction and feedback
- Restriction
 - Formulary
 - Pre authorization
- Education
- De-escalation

- Guidelines and Clinical Pathways
- Order Sets
- IV to PO conversion
- Dose optimization
- Computer Decision Support



All systems are perfectly designed to get the results they are getting.



Paul Batalden, MD



AS Fractal and the decentralization of



New ASP Process: Pharmacy





TheraDoc[®] EZ-Alert Screen Shot and Example EZ-Alerts

11/38/2011	ALERT REVER							
-			Vex: Attractive Severable Avta **	Vex Nanager				
alle al Gardanda	Show Criteria							
-								
Subscription per	17 Alerta Found							
thogram	Last 5 Deys							
Tax	All Patients Alert Institution: SPAC, Sand	SH SH SHC SHC						
apata	Alert Stelus: Adve		and the second se					
Relator	Sorted by: Patient Name (A-2 Group for Allert Name (A-2	erted, NE Darnoed by] let Same	Ne, Net suppressed					
lunney								
120/2011								
edon Cartral dart	Collapse All Prot	Report						
edon Curtral Iart	Expert to Excel	kepot						
eden Carthal art evex	Expert to Excel	keport						
edor Cortral art levex adors	Expert to Excel	keport						
edon Cartral lart levex stors bologr Revex	Collapse All Print	Arn vel er Russische	B					
ben Cartral H Intex Bens depr Texes Heb	Collapse All Print	lagent	0					
etor Cartal lari avex ators bologi Revex t Nep t Search	Collapse Al Prot	Alert	0					
leves dat leves doos doop leves rc lenth rt lenth rt lenth	College Al Post Expert to Excel 0 15ACC (3) TEX Less Cardian e Se Alest Time 11/20/2011 57-15	Alert 12 Alert Candda	1) in System and on Fluctonacche 🛙	Admit Dagnase: Endetage	Leftraue Left No.			
ebor Cartal fart levex oxfors bology faves a Hop et Senth et Taca Lokap	Collapse Al Prot	Alert EZ Alert Candda	() In Spatum and on Macanacula () Apr. 10 years	Admit Dagmase: Dridetype Site: 7	ktras at ig			
redon Carital start Revex Solitors solicity: Revex re: Hot ent Search ent Search Lookup ck Gudes	College Al Post Expert to Excel 0 15AC [3] 12AC [3] Alex Candour So Alex Time Exception 27-05 Display	den ted te Rosentele Alet E2 Alet: Candda	2) In Spatum and on Flaconaccule Age: 50 mert 400: 13/10 (14/27)	Admit Dagrasse. Bridninge. Sec: F respire 68 in (173 o	Athrone Left Hig HT			
Anvex Lavex Laters stologr Eaver re Hot et Derch et Derch uokat Lookat k Gotts dogr Eaver	College Al Post Expert to Excel 0 15Arc [3] 15Arc [3] Not See Condox + Se Alost Time Exception 27-35 Septem	den sod er Formacie Alert E2 Alert Candda	0 as Spatum and as Flacewandle Apr. 50 (arts) 407: 1379 (14/27) Cold Section (14/20) and 14 (14/27)	Admit Dagrosse: Endetsge Sec: F respected in (1710 wegte: 1711b (76 k	Afrow of No Afr		_	
Rever Lever Later Sologi Feren et Sologi Feren et Sologi Laka Sologi Feren s Sologi Age Feren ar Prict	College Al Post Expert to Excel D 15Arc (2) 152 Net Condo e So Alert Time 150 Net 21-25 Domine Septem	An of a Ramate Met E2 Met Candda	D as Spatum and as Placemanic D Apr: 10 mers 30: 10 (1)(1) 00 ast-10 (1)(2) 00 ast-10 (1)(2) ast-10 (1)(2)	Admit Dagrasse: Endetage Sec. 7 megite: 68 m (171 o Wegite: 172 b (76 m	Mirse uit he n) E			
ebon Currus tent Ibrear colona dologo Fareer a Holo cologo Fareer a Holo cologo Fareer a Culoba cologo Fareer a Port do Assetant	College Al Post Expert to Excel D 15AnC (3) 152 Alex Condo in Sp Alex Time 1100/2011 57-35 District Suppress	Alert EZ Alert Candda Candda in Sputur	1) In Spatian and as Flacosacols Apr: 50 years 40:: 510 (127) COIL (9 mu)mo(Calcord Gault, sept and on Flacosacols 	Admit Dagmass: Dradinger Sect 7 megitir: 60 m (171 o Weight: 172 b (19 k	Afran yit ta n) D			
Incore control Incores Incor	Collapse Al Post Expert to Excel D 15AnC (2) 152 Alex Condo in Sp Alex Time 1100/2011 57-35 District Suppress	Alert EZ Alert Candda Candda in Sputur belery Calture	2) In Spatian and on Flaconacole Apr: 50 years 40:: 510 (12/2) COIL (0 x (10/2)) COIL (0 x (10/2)) CO	Admit Dagrass: Endergre Sec: F might 60 in (1710 Weight: 171 in (76 in Search: Callected	ktiruse jaft kip n) D Basalt Status [Data[Time]	Accession	Ordenag Presider	

- Candida in Sputum and on Fluconazole
- Flagyl and double coverage
- On Cefepime and enterobacter or pseudomonas with MIC >= 4
- On Levaquin and Ciprofloxacin MIC >=1 for e. coli, pseudomonas, or strep pneumonia
- On Vancomycin and MRSA with MIC >= 2
- On Zosyn with enterobacter or pseudomonas with MIC >= 32
- Strep pneumonia Urine Ag positive
- Urine LE neg and pos urine culture on antibiotics
- Targeted Drugs:
 - Ampho B
 - Acyclovir IV
 - Aztreonam
 - Cefepime
 - Daptomycin
 - Ertapenem,
 - Levofloxacin
 - Linezolid
 - Meropenem
 - Pip/tazo
 - Tigecycline
 - Vancomycin
 - Voriconazole.



Therapeutic mismatches:

- Susceptibility known
- De-escalation
- No positive Bacterial cultures
- No Positive Fungal cultures
- Redundant Anaerobic spectrum therapy
- Redundant Antifungal spectrum therapy
- Redundant Beta-lactam therapy
- Redundant Staphylococcal therapy
Create a simple vision

"Right drug for the right bug, at right dose/duration/indication."



Establish a Sense of Urgency

- Communication:
 - Told Stories
 - Presented Facts
 - Shared Plans "partnerships not punitive"
 - Listened Attitudes/Knowledge/Beliefs

• Positive Peer Pressure



Once upon a time....

- Mrs Jones was a 80yo female.
- Admitted for elective surgical intervention.
- Given appropriate prophylactic antimicrobial.
- No stop date on antimicrobial continued > 7 days post operatively.
- Clinical condition worsened.
- Diagnosis: Toxic megacolon secondary to

Clostridium difficile.



Bad Bugs











Methacillin Resistant Staphyloccous (MRSA)

Vancomycin Resistant Enterococci (VRE)

Acinetobacter baumannii bacteria

P. Aeruginosa – Multi-Drug Resistant (MDR)

Extended Spectrum Beta-lactamase (ESBL) - E. Coli



Carbapenem Resistant Enterobacteraciae (CRE)

Antibiotic	Sensitivity	Result				
Amikacin	Susceptible	16 SUSCEPTIBLE				
Ampicillin	Resistant	>=32 RESISTANT				
Ampicillin/sulbactam	Resistant	>=32 RESISTANT				
Aztreonam	Resistant	>=64 RESISTANT				
Cefazolin	Resistant	>=64 RESISTANT				
Cefotetan	Resistant	RESISTANT				
Ceftazidime	Resistant	>=64 RESISTANT				
Ceftriaxone	Resistant	16 RESISTANT				
Gentamicin	Resistant	>=16 RESISTANT				
Levofloxacin	Resistant	>=8 RESISTANT				
Meropenem	Resistant	8 RESISTANT				
Nitrofurantoin	Resistant	256 RESISTANT				
Tobramycin	Resistant	>=16 RESISTANT				
Trimeth/Sulfamethoxazole	Resistant	160 RESISTANT				
cefepime	Resistant	RESISTANT				
Comments KLEBSIELLA PNEUMONIAE						
>100,000 COL/ML KLEBSIELLA ISOLATED IS MULTIDRUG-RESIS	PNEUMONIAE INFECTION CONTROL ALER STANT. PATIENTS WITH THIS ORGANISM N	T - THE ORGANISM /UST BE				



Lab and Collection

New antibacterial agents approved in the United States, 1983–2002

New antibacterial agents approved in the United States, 1983-2002, per 5-year period.





Infection Cost

 "Antibiotic-resistant infections cost the US Healthcare System in excess of \$20 billion annually."

APUA/Cook County Hospital 2000

 "The annual cost to the US health care system of antibiotic-resistant infections is \$21 billion to \$34 billion and more than 8 million additional hospital days."

CID 2011;52(S5):S397-428



Action

- Order sets
 C diff Work Group
 - PNA CAP/HCAP EDUCATION!!!
 - Sepsis
- TheraDoc[®] EZ-Alerts
- SCIP
- Drug Reviews

- Branding "The antibiotics people."
- Ambulatory ASP

WOSF HEALTHCARE

ommunity Acquired Pneumonia-Outpatient Oral Antibiotice - Adult ED									
azithromycin (ZITHROMAX) tablet 500 mg	250 mg, Oral, ONCE For 1 Doses								
doxycycline (VIBRAMYCIN) capsule 100 mg	100 mg, Oral, ONCE For 1 Doses								
levofloxacin (LEVAQUIN) tablet 750 mg	750 mg, Oral, ONCE For 1 Doses								
OMMUNITY ACQUIRED PNEUMONIA, NON-ICU TREATMENT - ADULT NON-ICU TREATMENT - ADULT									
elect Both (Primary Regimen):									
cefTRIAXone (ROCEPHIN) injection 1 g	1 g. Intravenous, EVERY 24 HOURS For 7 Days Dilute with 10 mL normal saline								
AZITHROMYCIN PO PANEL	"Followed by" Linked Panel								
azithromycin	500 mg, Oral, ONCE For 1 Doses								
azithromycin	250 mg, Oral, DAILY Starting tomorrow For 4 Doses								
R Pick a Quincione (Alternative Regimen): (Single Response)									
levofloxacin (LEVAQUIN) PO	750 mg, Oral, DAILY For 5 Days Pharmacy to adjust dose based on Creatinine Clearance								
R: Select These Two:									
ampicilin-subactam (UNASYN) IVPB - 3g, IVPB	3 g, Intravenous, EVERY 6 HOURS For 7 Days, for 30 Minutes Covers Aspiration. Pharmacy to adjust dose based on Creatinine Clearance.								
AZITHROMYCIN PO PANEL	"Followed by" Linked Panel								
azithromycin	500 mg, Oral, ONCE For 1 Doses								
azithromycin	250 mg, Oral, DAILY Starting tomorrow For 4 Doses								
OMMUNITY ACQUIRED PNEUMONIA ICU TREATMENT - ADULT ICU TREATMENT - ADULT elect these two:									
cefTRIAXone (ROCEPHIN) 2 g IVPB	2 g, Intravenous, EVERY 24 HOURS For 7 Days, for 30 Minutes								
AZITHROMYCIN CAP IV/PO PANEL	"Followed by" Linked Panel								
azithromycin	500 mg, Oral, DAILY For 8 Doses								
R Select these two:									
cefTRIAXone (ROCEPHIN) 2 g IVPB	2 g, Intravenous, EVERY 24 HOURS For 7 Days, for 30 Minutes								
levofloxacin (LEVAQUIN) IVPB 750 mg/150 mL	750 mg. Intravenous, EVERY 24 HOURS For 7 Days, for 90 Minutes Pharmacy to adjust dose based on Creatinine Clearance								
R: Select These Two									
ampicilin-subactam (UNASYN) IVPB - 3g, IVPB	3 g, Intravenous, EVERY 6 HOURS For 7 Days, for 30 Minutes Covers Aspiration. Pharmacy to adjust dose based on Creatinine Clearance.								
AZITHROMYCIN CAP IV/PO PANEL	"Followed by" Linked Panel								
azithromycin	500 mg, Oral, DAILY For 8 Doses								
R If Beta-Lactam Allergic, Select these Two, plus Pharmacy consult:									



A	ALTHCARE A SSOCIATED PNEUMONIA (HCAP) - HO SPITAL ACQUIRED PN REFERENCE: TABLE 2 OF INFECTIOUS DISEASE SOCIETY OF AMERICA/ DULT: RISK FACTORS FOR HEALTHCARE ASSOCIATED PNEUMONIA (I A) Residence in a nursing nome or extended care facility B) Hooptializati D) Antimibrobial therapy in preceding 90 days (significant exposure) E) H G) Immunosuppressive disease analor therapy INFECTIOUS DISEASE SOCIETY OF AMERICA/AMERICAN THORACIC SOCIETY 2005 HEALTHCARE ACQURIED PNEUMONIA GUIDELINES - ADU	WEUMONIA (HAP) AMERICAN THORACIC SOCIETY 2005 HEALTHCARE ACQURIED PNEUMONIA GUIDELINES - HCAP) / HOSPITAL ACQUIRED PNEUMONIA (HAP): lon for 2 days or more in the preceding 90 days Home infusion therapy (including antibiotics) F) Home wound care URL: http://www.thoracic.org/statements/resources/mtpl/guide1-29.pdf					
	1 Anti-Pseudomonal Agent Base (Select One) - Adult						
-	cefinime (MAXIPIME) MPB 2 n	2.0 Intravenous EV/ERY 8 HOURS for 30 Minutes					
	contrast (an east and) is i a 2 3	Pharmacy to adjust dose based on Creatinine Clearance					
	piperacilin-tazobactam (ZOSYN)	4.5.0. Intravenous, EVERY 6 HOURS					
1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Covers Aspiration. Pharmacy to adjust dose based on Creatinine Clearance.					
E I	meropenem (MERREM) Injection 500 mg	0.5.0. Intravenous, EVERY 6 HOURS					
	······································	Dilute with 10 mL normal saline. Alternative: Reserve for use if history of Multidrug resistant pathogen(s). Covers Aspiration. Pharmacy to adjust dose based on Creatinine Clearance.					
	2 Double Coverage for Pseudomonas (Select all): Note on Sansihivities - July 2012; 1) Sansihivities of Pseudomonas to Levofloxac 0) Sansihivity of Sequedomonas to Tothcamvin Is around 885, at SEMC, and block processing and block and	on is 59% in the ICU at SFMC, and around 70% at the other OSF hospitals.					
г	tobramycin (NEBCIN) IVPB	7 mg/kg, Intravenous, EVERY 24 HOURS, for 60 Minutes Pharmaookinetic dosing					
	IP Consult to Pharmacy - for Pharmacokinetic Tobramycin dosino	Reason for Consult7: Pharmacokinetic Tobramycin dosino					
-	levofioxacin (LEVAQUIN) IVPB 750 mg	Intravenous, DAILY, for 90 Minutes					
	in the second second second second	Pharmacy to adjust dose based on Creatinine Clearance					
	S If suspect MR SA or post-influenza pneumonia is present. Select One:						
	vancomvoin A/ANCOCINI IVPB	15 molike Intravenous EV/ERY 12 HOURS for 120 Minutes					
		Pharmacokinetic dosing					
	IP Consult to Pharmacy - for Pharmacokinetic vancomycin dosing Reason for Consult: Pharmacokinetic vancomycin dosing Reason for consult: Pharmacokinetic Vancomycin dosing						
#	4 If Severe Beta-						
lac	tam Allergic, Select Both of the following and see #3 if need to add MR\$A of	coverage: (Severe reaction = swelling, anaphylaxis, shortness of breath, etc.)					
Г	aztreonam (AZACTAM) 2 g IVPB	2 g, Intravenous, EVERY 8 HOURS, for 30 Minutes Alternative: Use if anaphylaxis to penicilling or cephalosporing is reported.					
Ievofloxacin (LEVAQUIN) IVPB 750 mg 750 mg, Intravenous, DAILY For 7 Days, for 90 Minutes Pharmany to adjust does based on Creatining Clearance							
AS	PIRATION PNEUMONIA (Highly Suspected, Witnessed, or Visualized)						
-	Aspiration - No Nosocomial Risk Factors						
Г	ampicilin-subactam (UNASYN) IVP8	3 g. Intravenous, EVERY 6 HOURS, for 30 Minutes Pharmacy to adjust based on Creatinine Clearance					
	Aspiration - Nosocomial Risk Factors Present RISK FACTORS FOR HEALTHCARE ASSOCIATED PNEUMONIA (HCAP) / A) Residence in a nursing home or extended care facility B) Hospitalic D) Antimicrobial therapy in preceding 90 days (significant exposure) E G) Immunosuppressive disease and/or therapy	HOSPITAL ACQUIRED PNEUMONIA (HAP): zation for 2 days or more in the preceding 90 days C) Chronic Dialysis within 30 days E) Home Infusion therapy (including antibiotios) F) Home wound care					
Г	piperacilin-tazobactam (ZOSYN)	4.5 g. Intravenous, EVERY 6 HOURS Pharmacy to adjust dose based on creatinine clearance.					
-	Aspiration - Beta-Lactam Allergy, No Nosocomial Risk Factors						
1	clindamycin (CLEOCIN) IVPB 600 mg	600 mg, Intravenous, EVERY 8 HOURS, for 30 Minutes					
	Aspiration - Beta-Lactam Allergy, With Nosocomial Risk Factors Present	er attransminr levnforgaln in onver éarchin organisme					
-	Anwaye select two drugs. T. Officialitych to cover Anaerooic organisms. 2. Eith	er azireonann on revonoxaonn to cover Aerooic organisms.					
	clindamycin (CLEOCIN) IVPB 600 mg	buu mg, intravenous, EVERY 8 HOURS, for 30 Minutes					
E	aztreonam (AZACTAM) IVPB 2 GM	2 g. Intravenous, EVERY 8 HOURS					
	levofloxacin (LEVAQUIN) IVPB 750 mg	Intravenous, EVERY 24 HOURS, for 90 Minutes					



OSF Levaquin Utilization: 2012-2014

OSF Levaquin Usage All Routes

▲ SFMC (Blue) Levaquin ♦ SAMC (Green) Levaquin 🤤 SJMC (Yellow) Levaquin 🛠 SMMC (Purple) Levaquin ♦ SFH (Aqua) Levaquin ♦ SJM (Fuchia) Levaquin



OSF Meropenem Utilization: 2012-2014

OSF IV Meropenem Usage



OSF Piperacillin/Tazobactam Utilization: 2012-2014



Outpatient Antimicrobial Utilization Review



Antibiotic Utilization in Percent

Total Abx Prescriptions = 65,535



AMOXICILLIN AMOXICILLIN-POT CLAVULANATE AZITHROMYCIN CEFDINIR CEFPODOXIME PROXETIL CEFTIN CEFUROXIME AXETIL CEPHALEXIN CIPROFLOXACIN CLARITHROMYCIN CLINDAMYCIN DICLOXACILLIN DOXYCYCLINE Erythromycin FOSFOMYCIN LEVOFLOXACIN LINEZOLID METRONIDAZOLE PO METRONIDAZOLE Top MINOCYCLINE MOXIFLOXACIN NITROFURANTOIN PENICILLIN V

SULFAMETHOXAZOLE-TRIMETHOPRIM



- Ciprofloxacin
- Bactrim
- Nitrofurantoin
- Cephalexin
- Levofloxacin
- Amoxicillin
- Azithromycin
- Cefuroxime
- Doxycycline
- Augmentin
- Cefdinir
- Metronidazole

Antibiogram

Gram negative rods (a)																			
PENICILLINS						CEPHEMS			LACTAMS			AMINOGLYC's			OTHERS			Urine Only	
Percent Susceptible	No. Tested (b)	Ampicillin	Piperacillin	Amp/Sulbactam	Pip/Tazobactam	Cefazolin	Cefotaxime	Cefepime	Aztreonam (c)	Imipenem	Meropenem	Gentamicin	Tobramycin	Amikacin	Ciprofloxacin	Levofloxacin	Trimeth/Sulfamethox	1ST GENERATION Ceph's [oral]	Nitrofurantoin
Achromobacter xylosoxidans	16	-	-	-	88	-	-	0	0	81	69	0	0	0	0	44	81	-	-
Acinetobacter baumannii	11	-	-	80	-	-	-	50	-	-	80	60	60	70	50	60	60	-	-
Burkholderia cepacia (d,e)	3		Cefta	zidime	33%	-	Mino	cycline	67	-	67	-	-	-	-	-	100	-	-
Citrobacter freundii	32	0	-	0	90	0	86	100	79	100	100	97	100	100	97	97	81	-	94
Citrobacter koseri	27	0	-	0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	96	100	-	73
Enterobacter aerogenes	39	0	-	0	70	0	65	100	85	100	100	100	100	100	95	95	97	-	5
Enterobacter cloacae	83	0	-	0	91	0	85	96	85	100	100	98	98	100	98	98	93	-	37
Escherichia coli	1022	47	-	61	90	83	89	96	89	100	100	88	87	99	74	74	67	-	94
Klebsiella oxytoca	41	7	-	85	100	66	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	95	95	93	-	71
Klebsiella pneumoniae	237	0	-	84	95	87	92	94	90	100	100	95	91	96	88	87	80	-	22
Morganella morganii	14	0	-	21	100	0	100	100	100	-	-	79	93	100	100	-	79	-	0
Proteus mirabilis	90	77	-	89	100	95	93	98	97	-	-	86	88	100	80	-	69	-	0
Proteus vulgaris (d)	4	0	-	75	50	0	-	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	50	-	0
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	354(f)	-	-	-	87	-	-	78	67	81	84	79	94	91	70	65	-	-	-
Ps. aeruginosa CF mucoid (e)	88(f)	-	84	Tica	ircillin 8	1%	-	81	73	65	74	-	88	-	58	-	-	-	-
Ps. aeruginosa CF non-mucoid (e)	63(f)	-	76	Tica	ircillin 6	1%	-	66	59	49	58	-	56	-	39	-	-	-	-
Salmonella spp. (d)	2	100	-	-	-	Ceftriax	one100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100g	-	100	-	-
Serratia marcescens	58	0	-	0	100	0	100	100	100	97	97	100	93	100	91	97	95	-	0
Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	46	-	-	Ticard	illin/Cla	ivulana	ate 42%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	82	93	-	-
Cost		\$\$	\$\$	\$	\$\$	\$	\$	\$	\$\$\$	\$\$\$	\$\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$

(a) Until final identifications are available, reports describe gram negative rods as lactose-fermenters (LF; such as E.coli, Klebsiella, Enterobacter, Citrobacter); non-lactose fermenters (NLF, such as Proteus, Serratia, Salmonella, Shigella), or non-fermenters (NF, such as Pseudomonas, Acinetobacter, Stenotrophomonas, and others, most of which are intrinsically more resistant to many antibiotics).

(b) Not all isolates tested against every antibiotic listed.

(c) Unlike aztreonam, aminoglycosides have synergistic activity with β-lactams (ex; piperacillin, ampicillin) against aerobic gram negative rods and enterococci. Aztreonam should only be used for treating documented infections due to susceptible organisms in patients with anaphylactic reactions to β-lactams. In patients with renal insufficiency, aminoglycosides can be administered safely when doses are adjusted for patient's renal function. For information on dosing, including single daily dosing, please contact a Clinical Pharmacist (beeper # available from unit secretary).

(d) Data from isolate totals <10 may be statistically unreliable.

(e) Cystic fibrosis patient isolates tested by disk diffusion.

(f) Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates not corrected for duplicates.

(g) Infectious Diseases consultation strongly recommended for determining treatment of Salmonella species recovered from blood.



Augmentin Azithromycin Amoxicillin Doxycycline Bactrim Levofloxacin Cephalexin ■ Ciprofloxacin Cefuroxime Clarithromycin ■ Cefdinir

Clindamycin



- Azithromycin
- Augmentin
- Doxycycline
- Levofloxacin
- Amoxicillin
- Cephalexin
- Bactrim
- Clarithromycin
- Ciprofloxacin
- Cefuroxime
- Cefdinir
- Minocycline

Antibiotic Utilization in Percent

Total Abx Prescriptions = 65,535



AMOXICILLIN AMOXICILLIN-POT CLAVULANATE AZITHROMYCIN CEFDINIR CEFPODOXIME PROXETIL CEFTIN CEFUROXIME AXETIL CEPHALEXIN CIPROFLOXACIN CLARITHROMYCIN CLINDAMYCIN DICLOXACILLIN DOXYCYCLINE Erythromycin FOSFOMYCIN LEVOFLOXACIN LINEZOLID METRONIDAZOLE PO METRONIDAZOLE Top MINOCYCLINE MOXIFLOXACIN NITROFURANTOIN PENICILLIN V

SULFAMETHOXAZOLE-TRIMETHOPRIM

Antibiotics and Risk Potential for Developing *C. Difficile*

High	Medium	Low
Clindamycin	Sulfametoxazole/ Trimethoprim (Bactrim [®])	Aminoglycosides
Fluoroquinolones	Macrolides	Metronidazole
Cephalosporins	Tetracyclines	Vancomycin IV
Ampicillin/Amoxicillin	Other Penicillins	Rifampin

• All antibiotics have the potential to cause C. difficile infection





AMOXICILLIN AMOXICILLIN-POT CLAVULANATE AZITHROMYCIN CEFDINIR CEFPODOXIME PROXETIL CEFTIN CEFUROXIME AXETIL CEPHALEXIN CIPROFLOXACIN CLARITHROMYCIN CLINDAMYCIN DICLOXACILLIN DOXYCYCLINE Erythromycin FOSFOMYCIN LEVOFLOXACIN LINEZOLID METRONIDAZOLE PO METRONIDAZOLE Top MINOCYCLINE MOXIFLOXACIN NITROFURANTOIN PENICILLIN V SULFAMETHOXAZOLE-TRIMETHOPRIM



Questions



Antimicrobial Stewardship Basics for Long Term Care

Disclosure Statement

I have nothing to disclose

What is antimicrobial stewardship?

 According to SHEA (Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America) antimicrobial stewardship refers to a "a set of coordinated strategies to improve the use of antimicrobial medication with the goal of enhancing patient health outcomes, reducing resistance to antibiotics and decreasing unnecessary costs".

We are all guilty!



We have used antibiotics too much and not always appropriately and now we are dealing with Clostridium difficile, MRSA, VRE, CRE and the trend will continue unless.....



I wish it was as easy as pressing a button, but it will require work!







So where do we start?



We must:

- >Get signed up for the XDRO registry
- >Adopt good antimicrobial stewardship traits
 - Learn how to determine if a "true" infection is present and if treatment is needed – teach your staff
 - Track and trend antibiotic usage
- Conduct surveillance
- Develop a facility plan

Get signed up for the XDRO Registry

It's as easy as pie!


Go to <u>https://www.xdro.org/</u> and look for access for the XDRO registry and click on that link

 It will take you to a new page. Look for -New users and click on the New Users link. Once you agree to the terms it will take you to a form. You must fill out the form to create a new username and select the box to access the application "INEDSS (Disease Surveillance) System/XDRO registry"



Remember the password!!!!

At the bottom you will see:

PRA E-mail: * select from the <u>Portal Registration Authority</u> list:

Click on that Portal Registration Authority link. It will open a new box where you can enter a keyword to search for your facility.

It takes a while to get portal access, but just be patient.

Once you have access you will be able to use the XDRO registry with ease!



Adopt good antimicrobial stewardship traits

Learn how to determine if a "true" infection is present and if treatment is needed *Assess*



Assess

Learn how to determine if a "true" infection is present and if treatment is needed

A condition change requires assessment Our elders have multiple co-morbidities. Symptoms could mean a variety of things Know your resident Don't jump the gun on antibiotics What should we do first **Treat** appropriately **Follow McGeer Criteria**

Track and trend antibiotic usage

- Review antibiotic usage on at least a monthly basis
- Work with your pharmacist and pharmacy to help you track and trend antibiotic usage
- Meet with physicians
- Talk with family members
- Educate everyone!!!!

Educate Everyone!!!!



Conduct surveillance

- Surveillance is key
- Are we doing everything we can to reduce infections?
- If we find a concern do we address it timely?
- Are your employees reporting their symptoms to you when they are calling off work?
- Are we cleaning appropriately?
- Do we handle linens correctly?
- Are we using the correct chemicals to clean and disinfect do they have kill claims for things like c.diff spores?

You MUST be out there watching – and not with rose colored glasses!



Get involved – communicate!



You should build a team and create a plan to reduce infections in your facility by:

Following hand hygiene requirements

Example – Utilize a QI process for observing hand hygiene – we use a process surveillance monitoring tool for these observations

Good cleaning and disinfecting Started a "Pen Light Program" to monitor cleaning and disinfecting

Appropriate laundry handling

Put a process in place to wash isolation linens on an isolation cycle

Using antibiotics appropriately
Work closely with your pharmacy and your pharmacist to monitor and track antibiotic use

Isolating appropriately

 Have created Isolation posters with staff pictures to draw attention to the need for isolation in a particular area

Good things can happen when you begin to adopt some of the principles we just reviewed.

By using some of the principles I have just mentioned and working together my company reduced UTIs in 2014:

1st Quarter 2014 = 505
 2nd Quarter 2014 = 376
 3rd Quarter 2014 = 319
 4th Quarter 2014 = 299







This is the time for





Wash your hands!!!!





Contact Information

Tammy Woolsey

Heritage Enterprises, Inc.

309-826-9779 (cell phone)

twoolsey@heritageofcare.com

INFECTION CONTROL MONTHLY LOG

Facility:	Month/Year:									
Resident										
Room #										
Admit Date										
Onset Date										
Site										
Culture: Yes (List date) -or- No										
Lab or x-ray date										
Organism										
Precautions Used: (In addition to Standard Precautions): Contact = C Droplet = D Airborne= A										
Antibiotic										
Nosocomial: Yes (List date) -or- No										
Were Re-Cultures or repeat x-rays or labs done: Yes (List Date) -or- No										
Resolve Date										
Report to IDPH: Yes (List date) -or- No										
*Notify Nursing Field Supervisor prior to reporting any infections to IDPH.										
Total # of Infections Other:	: Urine: _	Resp	iratory:	GI:	Skin:	: Ea	ar:	Eye:	_ Blood:	

PROCESS SURVEILLANCE

(Circle appropriate month and complete surveillance and document outcome and action taken on both items listed under that month.)

January/April/July/October

- 1. Minimizes exposure to a potential source of infection (eg. Room placement, use of isolation precautions)
- 2. Uses Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) when indicated

February/May/August/November

- 3. Uses appropriate hand hygiene prior to and after all procedures:
- 4. Ensures that appropriate sterile techniques are followed; for example, that staff:
 - Use sterile gloves, fluids, and materials, when indicated, depending on the site and the procedure
 - Avoid contaminating sterile procedures
 - Ensure that contaminated/non-sterile items are not placed in a sterile field

March/June/September/December

- 5. Ensures that reusable equipment is appropriately cleaned, disinfected, or reprocessed
- 6. Uses single-use medication vials and other single use items appropriately (proper disposal after every single use)

#	Outcome:
Action Take	en:
#	Outcome:
Action Take	en:

MONTHLY OUTCOME SURVEILLANCE DATA ANALYSIS

1) Are any identified trends noted (3 or more cases of same infection in specific area in building)? Yes No

INFECTION CONTROL PROCESS SURVEILLANCE MONITORING

Date: Time: Cone	lucted l	oy:					
		Compliance					
Surveillance Item	Yes	No	Not Known	N/A	Comments		
Exposure Monitoring – Minimizes exposure to a potential source of infection. January / April / July / October							
Are residents co-horted in rooms with other residents with							
same infection?							
Are private rooms utilized if necessary?							
Are resident rooms (environment) clean?							
Are Isolation rooms being cleaned with correct cleaner?							
Are "Isolation Precautions" posted when appropriate?							
Is equipment clean (i.e. bedpans, urinals, etc.)?							
Is resident clean and dry with good hygiene?							
Is hand washing witnessed before and after resident care?							
Are resident's hands being washed?							
Are gloves used and changed as needed?							
Is there safe handling of blood and infectious fluids?							
Are soiled items disposed of or handled properly?							
Are "Biohazard" signs available and used?							
Are PPE available and used appropriately?							
Is there monitoring for nosocomial infections?							
Is prevention considered?							
Are infection rates evaluated?							
PPE – Uses Personal Protective Equipent (PPE) when indicated. January / April / July / October							
Are gowns/aprons available?							
Are gioves available?				ļ			
Are masks available?							
Is eyewear in locations where they can be easily found?							
Are solutions for cleaning up blood/body fluid spills available	'						
Are needle boxes available?							
Is there adequate room in needle boxes?							
Are gloves used and changed as needed?							
can employees answer questions about availability of barrier equipment?							
Are appropriate PPE used based on isolation need?							
Are hand washing procedures followed?							
Are employees aware of Standard Precautions?							

	Compliance						
Surveillance Item		No	Not	Known	N/A	Comments	
Hand Hygiene – Uses appropriate hand hygiene prior to and after all procedures. February / May / August / November							
Is hand washing witnessed before and after resident care and at any time hands become soiled?							
Is hand washing witnessed before and after procedures?							
Are hands washed after removal of gloves?							
Are resident's bands being washed?							
 Sterile Techniques – Ensures that appropriate sterile techniques are followed: Use of sterile gloves, fluids and materials, when indicated, depending on the site and the procedure Avoid contaminating sterile procedures Ensure that contaminated / non-sterile items are not placed in a sterile field February / May / August / November 							
Are sterile gloves, fluids and materials used for sterile procedures?							
Are sterile fields maintained as sterile throughout procedure?							
If contamination occurs, is problem corrected and a sterile							
field once again maintained?							
Do contaminated and sterile items remain separate?							
sterile field?							
Cleaning / Disinfecting / Reprocessing – Ensures that reusable equipment is appropriately cleaned, disinfected, or reprocessed. March / June / September / December Is reusable equipment (B/P cuffs, stethoscopes, thermometers, etc.) appropriately cleaned, disinfected or							
reprocessed after use?							
Single Use Items – Uses single-use medication vials and other single use items appropriately (proper disposal after every single use). March / June / September / December							
Are single use medication vials used?							
Are single use items used as needed for residents in isolation?							
Are single use items disposed of properly after every single use?							

Central Illinois Infection Prevention and CRE Workshop, Springfield, IL

CRE and CPO: Methods for Detection and Pitfalls to Avoid

Angella Charnot-Katsikas, MD Assistant Director, Clinical Microbiology and Immunology Laboratories Department of Pathology The University of Chicago July 28, 2015



Disclosures

• None



Objectives

By the end of this presentation, the learner will:

- 1. Describe the major types of CRE
- 2. Understand the difference between CRE and CPO
- 3. Review approaches for detecting and reporting CRE and avoiding common pitfalls
- 4. Evaluate your laboratory's readiness for assessing CRE-positive specimens



Terms....

- Carbapenem
- Carbapenemase
- Carbapenem-Resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* "CRE"
- Carbapenemase-Producing Organism "CPO"


Carbapenems & Carbapenemases

- Carbapenems: β-lactam drugs that end in "penem"
 - Ertapenem
 - Imipenem
 - Meropenem
 - Doripenem
- Carbapenem<u>ases</u>: enzymes that break down carbapenem drugs



The Many Faces of Carbapenem Resistance



- Carbapenem Resistance a phenotype
 - Many mechanisms involved...porin mutations, enzyme production, efflux pumps, etc.
 - ie Carbapenem-Resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* "CRE"
 - Carbapenem<u>ase</u>-Producing <u>Organism</u> "CPO" a specific mechanism
 - Enterobacteriaceae and non-Enterobacteriaceae
 - KPC, NDM,OXA
 - MDRO





ANTIBIOTIC-RESISTANT BACTERIA owe their drug insensitivity to resistance genes. For example, such genes might code for "efflux" pumps that eject antibiotics from cells (a). Or the genes might give rise to enzymes that degrade the antibiotics (b) or that chemically alter—and inactivate—the drugs (c). Resistance genes can reside on the bacterial chromosome or, more typically, on small rings of DNA called plasmids. Some of the genes are inherited, some emerge through random mutations in bacterial DNA, and some are imported from other bacteria.

The β-lactam family of antibiotics

	Penicillins	Cephalosporins	Cephamycins	Carbapenems	Monobactams
	Benzyl- penicillin	Cephalothin 1 st	Cefoxitin	Imipenem	Aztreonam
	Methicillin	Cefamandole 2 [,]	Cefotetan	Meropenem Ertapenem	
	Ampicillin	Cefuroxime 2 nd	Cefmetazole	Doripenem	
	Carbenicillin	Cefotaxime 3 rd	KPCs hydrol	<u>yze all</u>	
	Mezlocillin	Ceftazidime 3 rd	Cephalospor		
	Ticarcillin	Ceftriaxone 3rd	Carbapenem	S IS	
THE UN Chicag	IVERSITY (O medicin	Cefepime 4 th OF VE	Slide	courtesy of Dr. Pa	aul Schreckenber



Summary – gram negative β-lactamases

β-lactamase Category	Molecular (Ambler) Class	Examples	Key Features of the class*	Found in
ESBL	A (serine)	CTX-M SHV TEM	Activity against penicillins, 1st through 3rd-generation cephalosporins and aztreonam; Susceptible to clavulanic acid & cephamycins	<i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> ; other gram negative organisms such as <i>N.gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>H.influenza</i>
АтрС	C (serine)	ACC, FOX LAT, MOX	Activity against cephamycins (cefoxitin); Resistant to clavulanic acid; Susceptible to cefepime & carbapenems; Can be induced by β-lactam agents	SPACE bugs (discussion in text) E.cloacae
Carbapenemase (all have activity against the carbapenems & cephamycins are resistant to clavulanic acid); all are serious infection control	A (serine)	KPC, IMI, SME	Weaker carbapenemase hydrolyzers; May be inhibited by boronic acid and partially inhibited by clavulanic acid	Enterobacteriaceae esp K.pneumoniae and E.coli; SME in Serratia marcescens; A. baumannii; P. aeruginosa
	B (metallo β- lactamases, "MβLs"; zinc at active site)	NDM, VIM, IMP, GIM, SPM-1	Strong carbapenemase hydrolyzers; Do not inactivate aztreonam; Inhibited by EDTA but not clavulanic acid or boronic acid	A. baumannii; P. aeruginosa; Enterobacteriaceae
threats	D (serine)	OXA	Weak carbapenem hydrolysis; high activity against oxacillin; susceptible to aztreonam; not inhibited by EDTA, boronic acid and clavulanic acid	A. baumannii; P. aeruginosa; Enterobacteriaceae

Adapted from Bush and Jacoby. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2010; 54(3)

Antibiotics affected by different Resistance Mechanisms

Antibiotic	ESBL	AmpC	CRE / CPO	
			КРС	MBL
Ampicillin	Х	Х	X	Х
Ampicillin/Sulbactam		Х	X	Х
Aztreonam*	X	X	Х	
Cefazolin	X	X	Х	Х
Cefoxitin (not reported)		X	Х	Х
Cefepime	X		Х	Х
Ceftazidime	X	X	Х	Х
Ceftriaxone	X	X	Х	Х
Ertapenem			Х	Х
Imipenem*			X	Х
Meropenem			X	Х
Piperacillin*	X	X	X	X
Piperacillin/Tazobactam*		X	X	X



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO MEDICINE

Carbapenem<u>ase</u>

 Isolate likely to be resistant to all carbapenems and other βlactam agents

• Infection Control emergency



A serious public health threat

- *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase (KPC) is the most common worldwide
- Increased morbidity and mortality



FIGURE

A serious public health threat globally

Occurrence of carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* (CPE) in 39 European countries based on self-assessment by respective national experts, 2013





B Geographic distribution of CPE by resistance mechanism using the same epidemiological scale



Glasner C et al. 2013 Eurosurveillance; Voulgari et al 2014 J Antimicrob Chemother)

A serious public health threat at home

- In the US, > 2 million people are sick every year with antibiotic-resistant infections, with at least 23,000 dying (CDC, Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States, 2013)
 - Level of concern :
 - CRE is 'urgent'
 - MDRO Acinetobacter, ESBL, MRSA, & VRE are 'serious'



CRE





http://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/biggest_threats.html

Mortality due to *K.pneumoniae* bloodstream infections

Infection related mortality

- Susceptible 17%
- ESBL + 22%
- CRE + 48%



Projections....

Deaths attributable to antimicrobial resistance every year by 2050







O'Neill et al. Review on Antimicrobial Resistance 2014

Definitions, definitions....

For E.coli, Klebsiella & Enterobacter spp

• CSTE/CDC then (2012):

Non-susceptible to imipenem, meropenem, or doripenem AND Resistant to all 3rd gen cephalosporins tested

- difficult implementation
- Missed cases (KPCs resistant only to ertapenem; OXA-48 NOT resistant to 3rd gen cephalosporins)
- CSTE/CDC now:

Resistant to imipenem, meropenem, doripenem **OR ertapenem OR documentation of carbapenem**<u>ase</u>

"Resistant"; + ertapenem; - cephalosporins



The change...

- MAY increase the measured CRE prevalence particularly since the addition of ertapenem and confirmatory testing is not required
 - Enterobacter spp may be R to ertapenem but are not necessarily CRE



CDC Suggestions

- If an isolate fits the new CDC definition...
 - Lab Test for carbapenemase (phenotype or genotype)
 - IF test -, then implement basic infection control (IC) measures (hand hygeine, contact precautions, etc)
 - IF test +, then implement intensive infection control measures (basic IC + screening cultures, patient/staff cohorting, etc)

OR

- Automatically consider isolate to be a CPO-CRE and implement intensive infection control measures
 - Consider cost:benefit (more IC interventions but less lab testing and less info on epidemiology)



CDC Suggestions

OR....

Do something in-between (this can get tricky)

- Test only for *less* likely CR-CPOs (*E.coli* and *Enterobacter* spp) instead of all (*K.pneumoniae*)
- Test only isolates in areas where CR-CPOs are less likely to be found geographically
- Test only islates R to one carbapenem, instead of those R to all



Reporting in Illinois - <u>Mandatory</u>

- Per the Control of Communicable Diseases Code 77 Ill. Adm. Code 690, IDPH requires reporting of <u>CRE</u>
- XDRO Registry for CRE began November 1, 2013
- Phenotype or Genotype (molecular) confirmation tests are accepted



Defining CRE for the XDRO Registry

Only report 1st CRE event/patient/encounter

For the Enterobacteriaceae (E. coli, Klebsiella, Enterobacter, Proteus, Citrobacter, Serratia, Morganella, or Providentia species):

Molecular test (e.g. PCR) for a carbapenemase gene (e.g. bla_{KPC}, bla_{NDM})
OR

2. Phenotypic test (e.g. Modified Hodge test) for carbapenemase production OR

3. For <u>E. coli</u> or <u>Klebsiella</u> spp. only: <u>Non-Susceptible</u> to ONE of the carbapenems (doripenem, meropenem, or imipenem) **AND** <u>Resistant</u> to ALL third generation cephalosporins tested (ceftriaxone, cefotaxime, and ceftazidime) *Note: ignore ertapenem for this definition*

https://www.xdro.org/reporting-rule.html



Standardization of definitions

- Important!
- Apples to apples comparison among facilities and states
- Correct data and tracking

Still working on it state by state....

Stay tuned for any IL modifications!



Screen vs Confirm

<u>Screen</u>

<u>Confirm</u>

MICs/Interpretations

Phenotype Inhibitor based tests Colorimetric MALDI

Genotype/Molecular



Confirming: Phenotypic Tests



Sample Algorithm

Ceftriaxone/Ceftazidime R





Modified Hodge Test (MHT) for Enterobacteriaceae



Which is the KPC producer?

Isolate A



Anderson KF et al. JCM 2007 Aug;45(8):2723-5.

Metallo beta-lactamase (MBL) Test

- Testing:
 - a double-ended Etest strip ; one end has an Imipenem gradient and the other has Imipenem + EDTA
 - MBL activity can be negated by metal chelators such as EDTA.
 - A difference in MIC of \geq 3 log₂ (\geq 8) indicates the presence of MBL.
 - Can also do combination EDTA/boronic disk testing...





EUROROUNDUPS

Carbapenem-non-susceptible Enterobacteriaceae in Europe: conclusions from a meeting of national experts

Algorithm for disk diffusion synergy tests to detect Carbapenem Non Susceptible Enterobacteriaceae



APBA = aminophenyl boronic acid (β lactamase inhibitor) DPA = dipicolinic acid (metal chelating agent)

Grundmann H and the CNSE Working Group. Carbapenem-non-susceptible Enterobacteriaceae in Europe: conclusions from a meeting of national experts. Euro Surveill. 2010;15(46):pii=19711.

Other Phenotypic Tests

<u>Colorimetric</u>

- Carba NP
 - Good for KPC, NDM, VIM, SPM, SME
 - Not so good for OXA (False Neg)
 - Can use for *P.aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter*
- NEO-Rapid CARB Kit by Rosco Diagnostica (Hardy, Key Scientific) NOT FDA
 - Prob w/ NDM + A.baumannii
- RAPIDEC[®] CARBA NP (bioMerieux) NOT FDA
 - Detects carbapenemases but no differentiation
- EPI-CRE® (Pilots Point, Sarasota, FL) NOT FDA
 - Sens/spec 100% (Siesar and Schreckenberger, Abstract, ASM 2015)

MALDI-TOF

- Similar sens/spec to Carba NP but increased sens when used with NH4HCO3
- Problems with OXA-48



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO MEDICINE



Confirming: Molecular Tests

- Biofire (KPC only)
- Nanosphere (KPC, NDM, OXA, IMP & VIM)
- BD Max, Cepheid, Check Points (non-FDA; all detect KPC, NDM, and OXA-48; later two also detect IMP and VIM)
- Only detect genes that recognized by the available probes
 - Can miss detection of new enzymes



CLSI M-100 S25, 2015

 Continues to endorse confirmation of carbapenemase production by MHT, Carba NP, or molecular assay for infection control and epidemiologic purposes



Pitfalls to avoid



Pitfalls... tests & drug-bug combinations used for testing

- Imipenem disk test not a good screen
- Imipenem MIC cannot use as a screen for *Proteus/ Providencia/Morganella* due to intrinsically elevated MICs
 - higher MICs with imipenem vs. *P. mirabilis* due to reduced binding of drug by PBP

Important but NOT an IC emergency....

Resistance is NOT due to carbapenemases



Pitfall – systems/cards used for testing

Type: Status: Elapsed Time: Organism: Source: Demographics:	Gram Negative General Susceptibility 143 (GNS Final 13 hours Klebsiella pneumoniae Manual			GNS-143
Aminillio		MIC	Instrument	Exper
Assignation (Sult	a ser la mon	X-00	0	
Piperarillie/Te		S-120	P	
Cofazalia	trobac tam	Na32	P	
Ceftrievone		>=64	R	
Ceftaz)dime		5=38	R	
Cefeciae		E	8	
Attractan		2886	R	
Inteneo		(=4	8	
Gentanicin		4	S	
Tobranycin		3=16	R	
Ciprofloxacin		$\rangle = 4_{0}$	R	
Levofloxacin		>+B	R	
Tringth-sulfa		>=320	R	
Nitrofurantoin		64	1	
ESBL			Negative	
MIC values in a The presence of	other Beta-lac	ait for All tamases (e.g.	AmpC, 199) may	mask E



Imipenem - S Ertapenem - R

Suggests possible KPC which should be confirmed with Hodge test or sent to reference lab for confirmation



And in fact....





Pitfall – systems/cards used for testing





HICAGO MEDICINE
Pitfalls... Breakpoints used

- Impacts screening by automated methods
- Impacts reporting do you change your results based on additional testing?
- Previous example:
 - If using former CLSI/FDA breakpoints you may still change all carbapenems to R
 - If using new CLSI/FDA breakpoints report interpretations as tested
 - Either way, you wouldn't necessarily know if you didn't do a confirmatory test
 - Either way, report as CRE probable KPC type. Implement infection control measures accordingly
 - REPORT TO XDRO REGISTRY



Pitfalls.....Enterobacter spp (E.cloacae)



Suggests possible KPC which should be confirmed with Hodge test or sent to reference lab for confirmation



Slide courtesy of Dr. Paul Schreckenberger

But in this case....

- MHT –
- So....What is this?



Chromosomal AmpC with a porin mutation = carbapenem R

....

So is resistant to carbapenems but is NOT a CPO & is NOT to be reported to XDRO – recall current definition (slide 24)!

But note: would be reported **if** we followed CDC definition (slide 19)!



Pitfalls...imperfect confirmatory tests

- False positive MHT:
 - Hyper AmpC producers + porin mutation
- "False" Negative MHT
 - MBL
 - not specific
 - Good for KPC +
 - OXA +/- (may be MHT <u>and</u> MBL negative)
 - Note: OXA-48 (and other OXA) may also remain S to 3rd/4th generation cephalosporins



Pitfalls...

• *P. aeruginosa* and *A.baumannii* : both have CPO's yet these are not reported under the current XDRO Registry definition



For More Information

http://www.cdc.gov/labtraining/master_courses.html

https://www.xdro.org/

• http://www.cdc.gov/hai/organisms/cre/definition.html



Thank you!

Angella.Charnot-Katsikas@uchospitals.edu

